

Promoting the Health of the Gay Community

HOW TO RECEIVE CREDIT

- Read the enclosed course.
- Complete the questions at the end of the course.
- Return your completed Answer Sheet to CME Resource by mail or fax, or complete online at www.NetCE.com. Your postmark or facsimile date will be used as your completion date.
- Receive your Certificate(s) of Completion by mail, fax, or email.

Faculty

Leslie Bakker, RN, MSN, is an Associate Professor of Nursing at West Virginia State University Technical and Community College in Institute, West Virginia. She earned her BSN from Florida State University and her Master's from West Virginia University with an emphasis on primary healthcare. Professor Bakker's 43 years of nursing experiences have included hospital nursing in the United States and northern Europe, community health nursing, home health nursing, nursing education and community health activism. With a focus on transcultural nursing, she served as international student advisor for many years. She has designed and delivered diversity education programming for law enforcement personnel. Currently her practice includes health promotion activities for the gay community with an emphasis on gay youth. She has presented at several local and regional health professional conferences, and has published in professional nursing journals.

Faculty Disclosure

Contributing faculty, Leslie Bakker, RN, MSN, has disclosed no relevant financial relationship with any product manufacturer or service provider mentioned.

Division Planner

James Trent, PhD

Division Planner Disclosure

The division planner has disclosed no relevant financial relationship with any product manufacturer or service provider mentioned.

Audience

This introductory course is designed for all psychologists working in all practice settings.

Approval

CME Resource is approved by the American Psychological Association to sponsor continuing education for psychologists. CME Resource maintains responsibility for the program and its content.

Designation of Credit

CME Resource designates this continuing education activity for 5 credits.

About the Sponsor

The purpose of CME Resource is to provide challenging curricula to assist healthcare professionals to raise their levels of expertise while fulfilling their continuing education requirements, thereby improving the quality of healthcare.

Our contributing faculty members have taken care to ensure that the information and recommendations are accurate and compatible with the standards generally accepted at the time of publication. The publisher disclaims any liability, loss or damage incurred as a consequence, directly or indirectly, of the use and application of any of the contents. Participants are cautioned about the potential risk of using limited knowledge when integrating new techniques into practice.

Disclosure Statement

It is the policy of CME Resource not to accept commercial support.

Course Objective

More gay individuals and their families want culturally appropriate information as well as support and referral. The purpose of this course is to provide psychologists with strategies that promote cultural competency when treating and caring for gay patients, supporting the concept of patient-centered care.

Learning Objectives

Upon completion of this course, you should be able to:

1. Define multiple terms related to the concept of sexual identity.
2. Define heterosexism and homophobia and identify how they may be barriers to increasing professional awareness of the gay population.
3. Summarize myths related to the gay population.
4. Describe research challenges related to the gay population.

5. Describe select theoretical models related to the development of one's sexual identity (the coming out) process.
6. Cite unique health and safety concerns experienced by the gay population and available resources that healthcare professionals can provide to these patients and their families.
7. Identify culturally appropriate strategies useful for implementing each skill, including the application of cross-cultural communication.



Sections marked with this symbol include evidence-based practice recommendations. The level of evidence and/or strength of recommendation, as provided by the evidence-based source, are also included so you may determine the validity or relevance of the information. These sections may be used in conjunction with the course material for better application to your daily practice.

INTRODUCTION

The gay population has been acknowledged in healthcare professional literature as “invisible,” “hidden,” “stigmatized,” and “marginalized” and recognized as having difficulty accessing healthcare, receiving inferior care, or actually being denied care [1; 2]. However, changes in our society have brought more awareness about and visibility to the gay community. Perhaps as a result of these changes, more gay individuals are “coming out” to their families and friends. Gay youth are questioning their identity or coming out at younger ages than before. As we learn more about the gay community, the image of a gay person is changing from an image of one who is lonely, depressed, or ill to one who is a well-adjusted, contributing citizen. More gay individuals and their families want culturally appropriate information as well as support and referral.

This course brings no expectation that the reader change personal values or beliefs about homosexuality. However, it is the hope of the author that reading this course will contribute to the professional’s ability to provide culturally competent care for gay individuals while meeting professional, legal, and ethical responsibilities.

CULTURALLY COMPETENT CARE

Because the gay population has been considered a subculture in professional literature, a discussion of culturally competent care is fitting. Culturally competent care has been defined as “care that takes into account issues related to diversity, marginalization, and vulnerability due to culture, race, gender, and sexual orientation” [3]. A culturally competent person is someone who is aware of how being different from the norm can be marginalizing and how this marginalization may affect seeking or receiving health care [3]. To be effective cross-culturally with any diverse group, healthcare professionals must have awareness, sensitivity, and knowledge about the culture involved, enhanced by the use of cross-cultural communication skills [4; 5].

Healthcare professionals are accustomed to working to promote the healthy physical and psychosocial development and well-being of individuals within the context of the greater community. For years, these same professionals have been identifying at-risk populations and developing programs or making referrals to resources to promote the health and safety of at-risk groups. But, because of the general assumption that individuals are heterosexual, and because of social stigmatization and marginalization, many gay individuals have remained largely invisible in our society. Gay youth and gay elderly, for example, have been called “hidden” [6; 7].

DIVERSITY IN THE GAY COMMUNITY

The gay population, like the general U.S. population, is a diverse group that may be defined as a subculture, which includes all ages of homosexual males, lesbian females, bisexual and transgender individuals, and those questioning their sexual identity. Ethnic or racial and cultural backgrounds, education, income, and places of residence further diversify this subculture. One’s intrapersonal acceptance or rejection of societal stereotypes and prejudices, the acceptance of one’s self-identity as a sexual minority, and how much one affiliates with other members of the gay community varies greatly among individuals [8]. Some authors stress the diversity within the gay community by discussing “gay populations” [9]. For example, it is understandable that a gay population living in rural areas of the U.S. would have little in common with a gay population living in urban areas or “gay-friendly” neighborhoods. Additionally, mental health experts have suggested that while “gay community” symbolizes a group of individuals who have a different sexual lifestyle than the majority of heterosexual individuals, there are in fact four communities: lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender [10]. Each community is different from the other as well as different from the heterosexual community. A culturally competent healthcare provider should keep this diversity in mind so that vital differences among these smaller groups are not lost when thinking of the gay population in general.

Commonalities exist among the gay communities as well. For example, many adolescents, whether gay male, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, or questioning their sexual identity, lack sexual minority role models to assist with successful psychosocial development [10].

PROFESSIONAL LITERATURE AND THE GAY POPULATION

Until recently, most professional literature about the gay population was limited to psychology and sociology publications, with a focus on the prevalence of illnesses in the gay community, such as depression, suicide, chemical dependency, and human immunodeficiency virus/acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (HIV/AIDS) [8]. Though some information has been gathered, researchers have indicated that much more research and literature development must be done [10]. A body of knowledge related to sexual minority youth has been developed, but scant knowledge exists that addresses aging sexual minorities.

Textbooks and curricula in some professional education programs have begun to include information about the gay community and its health needs, both medical and psychosocial. Illustrations used in classroom discussions about special populations include gay populations as well as examples drawn from racial, ethnic, and other diverse groups. In the U.S., the media has been presenting healthy portrayals of gay characters (younger characters more so than elderly, however). Still, many gay individuals have not revealed their sexual identity or suspicion about being a sexual minority. As a result, their unique health and safety needs have been less understood by healthcare professionals, educators, counselors, and others.

A growing number of professional organizations have called for the development of more information about sexual minorities [9; 11; 12; 13; 14; 134]:

- The American Academy of Nurses has recommended that there be “development of knowledge related to healthcare of minority, stigmatized, and disenfranchised populations.”
- The American Public Health Association has released a policy statement that identifies the need for research on gender identity and sexual orientation.
- The National Institutes of Health has called for research related to sexual minority health.
- Healthy People 2010 has included gays and lesbians among the targeted groups for reducing health outcome disparities.
- The Gay and Lesbian Medical Association and Columbia University’s Mailman School of Public Health has also successfully lobbied for inclusion of the research needs of gays and lesbians.
- A 2004 resolution issued by the American Medical Association (AMA) has called for more information about the gay population and recommended that its health needs become a part of the healthcare professional’s education.

DEFINITIONS

Clear definitions of the concepts related to sexual identity will be helpful. The following is a glossary of terms used throughout this course [1; 13; 15; 16; 17; 18; 19; 20; 21; 135]:

Aging gay population: While no clear definition exists, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) uses the age of 50 years or older when talking about aging individuals.

Bisexual: An adjective that refers to people who relate sexually and affectionately to both women and men.

Coming out process: “A process by which an individual, in the face of societal stigma, moves from denial to acknowledging his/her sexual orientation. Successful resolution leads to acceptance of him/herself in that lifestyle.” Coming out is an extended process for lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender persons and their families and friends as they begin to tell others at work, in school, and in church.

Gay: The umbrella term for homosexual persons, although it most specifically refers to men who are attracted to and love men. It is equally acceptable and more accurate to refer to gay women as “lesbians.”

Heterosexism: An institutional and societal reinforcement of heterosexuality as the privileged and powerful norm.

Heterosexuality: Erotic feelings, attitudes, values, attraction, arousal, and/or physical contact with partners of the opposite gender.

Homophobia: A negative attitude or fear of homosexuality or homosexuals. This may be internalized in the form of negative feelings toward oneself and self-hatred. Called “internalized homophobia,” it may be manifested by fear of discovery, denial, or discomfort with being homosexual, low self-esteem, aggression against other lesbians and gay men, or exaggerated gay pride and rejection of all heterosexuals.

Homosexuality: The “persistent sexual and emotional attraction to members of one’s own gender” as part of the continuum of sexual expression.

LGBTQI: These letters serve as a catchall nomenclature for the words lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender. The “Q” signifies questioning and refers to individuals who are experiencing a state of uncertainty about their own sexual identity. The “I” was added to include intersex individuals, those persons for whom both male and female sexual organs/genitalia are present (previously referred to as hermaphroditism).

Sexual identity: The “inner-sense of oneself as a sexual being, including how one identifies in terms of gender and sexual orientation” [135].

Sexual minority youth: “Sexual minority youth are those who self-identify with any nonheterosexual orientation or whose gender experience runs contrary to norms. The term is often used to refer to lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender youth” [135].

Sexual orientation: An enduring emotional, romantic, sexual, and/or affectionate attraction to another person. Individuals may experience this attraction to someone of the same gender, the opposite gender, or both genders.

Transgender: An umbrella term describing a number of distinct gender positions and identities including: transsexual, transvestite, transgenderist, androgyne, and the states of cross-gender, cross-living, and cross-dressing. Transgender individuals see themselves as partly or fully of the opposite gender.

HOMOPHOBIA, HETEROSEXISM, AND INTERNALIZED HOMOPHOBIA

Within the general public, controversy associated with the words “homophobia,” “heterosexism,” and “internalized homophobia” exists. Having an opportunity to consider these terms is necessary to enhance the development of culturally competent care and the application of communication skills. Professional literature has frequently combined the use of the terms “heterosexism and homophobia” when discussing the LGBTQI subculture, both for the sake of clarity and to emphasize that the terms do not carry the same meanings. Although absolute, universal definitions of the terms are still evolving, a general understanding exists and they are widely used in social science literature, by policy makers, and in the judiciary [18]. They have also been applied in the literature for health professionals.

Discussions regarding the conceptualization and operationalization of these terms, and many others related to homosexuality, have been taking place in an effort to clarify definitions [8; 22]. The words used when discussing homosexuality and the LGBTQI experience may have political tones, so they must be used carefully [22].

Much professional literature has documented the negative social attitudes toward sexual minorities. These negative attitudes have been frequently referred to as “homophobia” [23]. The term was coined in 1967 and defined very specifically as “the dread of being in close quarters with homosexuals... the revulsion toward homosexuals and often the desire to inflict punishment as retribution” [18]. Some in the general population believe that the word “homophobia” always includes a component of violence. The condition was classified as

a phobia and operationalized as a prejudice. The phobia manifests as antagonism directed toward a particular group of people, leading to disdain and mistreatment of them [18]. No rational or scientific bases have been offered to explain the attitudes that lie beneath this phenomenon [24].

Some individuals in the general population deny that they are homophobic on the basis that they do not condone violence. However, it has been suggested that individuals may be homophobic even when they do not support violence [26]. Additional examples of homophobia include when individuals feel: anxious and afraid, thinking that they may be perceived as gay or lesbian by others; anxious or repulsed when they find themselves attracted to a person of their own sex; or fearful that they have homosexual or bisexual tendencies [26].

A more appropriate term for the phenomenon of negative social attitudes may be “heterosexism” because it focuses on the normalization and giving of privilege to heterosexuality. The term encompasses the prejudice and social stigma from institutional and interpersonal sources encountered by sexual minorities, including a range of discriminatory experiences, not only those related to phobias and violence [25]. Implicit and explicit forms of discrimination have been included in the definition of heterosexism. For example, the use of noninclusive questions while conducting a patient’s sexual history could be considered implicit discrimination because the questions reflect a possible lack of awareness and inclusivity. Mean-spirited, antigay statements or actions by an individual or an institution could be considered explicit discrimination because the action is undeniably direct. A hospital visitation policy that prevents gay individuals from visiting their partners is another form of discrimination included in the definition of heterosexism.

The subtle and pervasive ways that discomfort with homosexuals may be manifested have been examined and, in some instances, categorized as “cultural heterosexism,” which is characterized by the stigmatization in thinking and actions found in our nation’s cultural institutions, such as our educational and legal systems [27]. “Cultural heterosexism fosters individual antigay attitudes by providing a ready-made system of values and stereotypical beliefs that justify such prejudice as natural” [28]. Perhaps the paucity of information about the gay community in basic professional education textbooks has been a reflection of cultural heterosexism. Writers, funding sources, and publishers have been exposed to the same cultural institutions for many years.

Individuals generally begin to absorb these institutional attitudes as children and may consequently develop “psychological heterosexism,” which may also manifest as antigay prejudice. Many individuals, as children, have little contact with someone who is openly gay and, as a result, may not be able to associate homosexuality with an actual person. Instead, they may associate it with concepts such as “sin,” “sickness,” “predator,” “outsider,” or some other negative characteristic from which the individual wants to maintain distance [28]. Psychological heterosexism involves (among other factors) considering sexual identity and determining that one does not want to think further about it. The direction of this thinking is undeniably negative, resulting in an environment that allows antigay hostility [28]. The impact of antigay prejudice on the physical and mental health of members of the LGBTQI community and their families should not be underestimated [1; 29].

Sexual minority individuals also are not immune to societal attitudes and may internalize negative aspects of the antigay prejudice experience. Anxiety, depression, social withdrawal, and other reactions may result [24]. While the concept of psychological heterosexism has attracted little research attention, it has had a measurable impact on the mental health of the gay community [22; 30].

Examples of the range of manifestations of heterosexism and/or homophobia in our society are readily available. Without difficulty, each example presented here may be conceptualized as related to the emotional or physical health of a gay individual or family member:

- A kindergarten student calls another child a “faggot” but does not really know what he is saying.
- A teenage woman allows herself to become pregnant, “proving” her heterosexuality to herself, her family, and her friends.
- A parent worries that her 12-year-old daughter is still a “tomboy.”
- An office employee decides to place a photo of an old boyfriend in her office rather than a photo of her partner of 5 years.
- A college student buries himself in his studies in an effort to ignore his same-sex feelings and replace feelings of isolation.
- Two teenage women, thought by peers to be transgender individuals, are assaulted and killed while sitting together in an automobile.
- A female patient is told by a healthcare provider that her haircut makes her look like a lesbian and is examined roughly.
- A gay male chooses not to reveal his homosexuality to his healthcare provider out of fear of a reduction or withdrawal of healthcare services.

The manifestations of heterosexism and homophobia have inhibited our learning about the LGBTQI population and its needs [9]. Gay patients have feared open discussion about their health needs because of potential negative reactions to their self-disclosure. Prejudice has impacted research efforts by limiting available funding [8]. All of these factors emphasize that the healthcare education system has failed to educate providers and researchers about the unique aspects of LGBTQI health [29; 31].

MYTHS AND FACTS

Many myths surround homosexuality; a few are outlined below. The origin of these myths may be better understood after examining the history of homosexuality as well as the attitudes toward human sexuality in general. The history of the development of societal norms related to homosexuality includes misconceptions developed during times when research was not available on which to build a scientific knowledge base [1; 15; 16; 32]:

Myth One: Sexual orientation is a choice.

Fact: No consensus exists among scientists about the reasons that an individual develops his/her sexual orientation. Some research has shown that the bodies and brains of homosexual males and females differ subtly in structure and function from their heterosexual counterparts; however, no findings have conclusively shown that sexual orientation is determined by any particular factor or set of factors. Many people confuse sexual orientation with sexual identity. The reader may consider reviewing the definitions of these terms when further considering this myth.

Myth Two: Gays and lesbians can be easily identified because they have distinctive characteristics.

Fact: Most gay and lesbian individuals conform to the majority of society in the way they dress and act. While some gay men and lesbian women may fit the stereotypes that society holds, homosexual individuals generally look and act like everyone else. Most people never suspect the sexual orientation of a homosexual individual.

Myth Three: Gays are child molesters.

Fact: According to experts in the field of sexual abuse, the vast majority of those who molest children are heterosexual. The average offender is a white heterosexual male whom the child knows.

Myth Four: Homosexual people want to come into our schools and recruit our children to their lifestyle.

Fact: There have been efforts to bring “gay” issues into schools but certainly not to convert anyone. The intent has been to teach adolescents not to mistreat gay and lesbian classmates who are often the subjects of harassment and physical attacks. There is no evidence that people could be “recruited” to a homosexual orientation, even if someone wanted to do this.

RESEARCH CHALLENGES

The relative lack of professional information about the gay community reflects the entrenched attitudes of the greater society. Clearly, the call for knowledge development through research is present and needed to prevent the continued stigmatization of the gay population. Groups that are stigmatized often are mistrustful of the research process. A number of other barriers to conducting research that results in reliable and valid information exist. The following four barriers have been identified as needing urgent attention [6; 7; 8].

First, establish clear definitions of the populations. Because there is no consensus on the definitions, it is impossible to accurately estimate the percentage of the population that would be classified as gay or lesbian. For example, the 2000 U.S. Census counted same-sex couples but did not count single gays or lesbians. Estimates of these singles range from 1% to 20% of the U.S. population [8; 33]. While different definitions and measures of sexual orientation have been used since the 1860s, the definition of sexual orientation should include self-identity, behavior, emotional attractions, cultural affiliation, and those aspects of sexual orientation that may change across developmental periods [18]. The term “transgender” is also difficult to define and measure, partly because it has been less examined than other terms, such as “heterosexual,” “homosexual,” “bisexual,” “gay,” or “lesbian.”

Generally, the term “transgender” includes several populations, including transsexuals and cross dressers. Further defining each of these population creates additional challenges [8]. Consensus on the definitions of “youth” and “elderly” and other demographic terms is needed, as well.

Second, construct valid and reliable measures of sexual orientation and transgender identity that accurately represent their definitions. Without clear conceptual definitions, development of valid and reliable measures is difficult to achieve. Different measures exist, but there is no consensus. In addition, there is limited literature discussing when and where the measures should be used [8].

Third, sample rare and hidden populations [8]. Large-scale random surveys are expensive, and researchers often must conduct smaller studies and use samples of convenience [9]. This sampling method may result in biased and uninformative data. For example, studies examining the prevalence of suicide among lesbian and gay youths and adults have yielded inconsistent results, in part because of the absence of good data. Additionally, researchers have had to rely on retrospective data from individuals after they self-label, disclose, and volunteer to participate in research projects [34]. Other factors may also impact sampling. For example, while studying gay elderly, qualitative research sampling has been affected by deaths and memory changes, resulting in incomplete interviews [35].

Homophobia and heterosexism place LGBTQI studies outside the mainstream in terms of importance as well as allocation of resources [9]. While difficulties with sampling have been used as a rationale for denying funding for research into LGBTQI matters, effective sampling methods have been developed for surveying other rare populations, for example, ethnic minority groups or age groups [8]. These same methods can be used with the LGBTQI community [9].

The fourth challenge is to undertake the study of sensitive topics [8]. Sensitive research has been defined as “studies in which there are potential consequences or implications, either directly for the participants in the research or for the class of individuals represented by the research” [36]. Clearly, research related to the LGBTQI community must be considered sensitive, especially as the research relates to health. Subjects may have unresolved health issues relating to their sexual identity, they may find it difficult to reveal their sexual orientation or transgender identity, or they may be concerned about violence or discrimination if their responses are not kept confidential [37]. Also, because some states consider certain sexual behaviors illegal, revealing one’s sexual orientation could result in legal action [9; 36]. Maintaining confidentiality is vital.

SEXUAL IDENTITY MODELS

Understanding homosexual identity development is required to provide adequate care for LGBTQI youth [38]. This is applicable to all ages of LGBTQI individuals.

Interest in the study of sexual identity development substantially increased in 1969, which is generally accepted as the year that the Gay Liberation Movement was born. In the early morning hours of June 28, New York City police raided the Stonewall Inn, a known gay bar in New York’s Greenwich Village. The police conducted a “routine” raid of the bar, making arrests. Gays had been accustomed to this oppressive action, but on that morning, the bar patrons and their supporters fought back. The same thing happened the next evening, but the crowds had grown in number, strength, and unity [39]. Those events, known as the “Stonewall Riots,” created movement toward awareness of the LGBTQI community, its concerns, and its needs. This and subsequent events led to an increase of gay individuals identifying themselves to family, friends, and others. Interest in the concept of sexual identity and its development also subsequently grew.

Some knowledge of this watershed event helps to explain the increased awareness of the LGBTQI community, which includes the study of the sexual identity development process. Conducting research about this process is difficult because the developmental task of establishing personal identity, which includes establishing sexual identity, may not yet have been completed. Consequently, LGBTQI youth may be unaware of or unclear about their sexual identity.

Coming-out models have generated much interest in developmental concerns related to sexual identity, thus bringing attention to gay and lesbian youth. However, the models may not be applicable to all individuals. The models, which have been developed from exclusively male samples, have not fully considered the diversity that exists among individuals of different backgrounds, ethnicities, and genders. Using theoretical models with female sexual minority samples has not always been helpful. Using data from young men also has been problematic because not all young men use sex to help them determine their identity; rather, they often know who they are prior to engaging in gay sex [34].

Initially proposed more than two decades ago, coming-out models describe the arrival of same-sex identity by means of a series of steps or stages. These stages delineate when, in the development of same-sex identity, recognition of, making sense of, naming, and publicizing oneself as lesbian or gay occurs. The models are nearly universal in their stage sequence regarding the ways that LGBTQI youth move from a private, at times unknown, same-sex sexuality to a public, integrated sexuality. Development has been generally perceived as linear and universal among individuals who share some real or hypothesized commonalities. Bisexuality has been seldom addressed in the models [34].

CASS MODEL

Cass was the first to articulate a model of homosexual identity. Believing that individuals have an active role in the acquisition of a homosexual identity, Cass suggested that individuals pass through six non-age-specific stages [39; 40]:

- Identity awareness – the individual is conscious of being different.
- Identity comparison – the individual believes that he or she may be homosexual but attempts to act heterosexual.
- Identity tolerance – the individual realizes that he or she is homosexual.
- Identity acceptance – the individual begins to explore the gay community.
- Identity pride – the individual becomes active in the gay community.
- Synthesis – the individual fully accepts himself or herself and other homosexual individuals.

Cass believed that individuals could be in more than one stage at a time and that they could return to a stage already passed through without it being considered regression.

TROIDEN MODEL

In 1989, Troiden posited four age-specific stages in the homosexual identity process, which contrasted with Cass's non-age-specific stages [41]. Troiden's four stages are: sensitization, identity confusion, identity assumption, and commitment. The sensitization stage, which begins before puberty, finds the individual experiencing homosexual feelings without understanding the implications for self-identity. The identity confusion stage, which occurs during adolescence, is when the individual realizes that he or she may be homosexual. During the identity assumption stage, the individual comes out as a gay person, usually first to the homosexual community and later to the heterosexual community. During the commitment stage, the individual lives as a homosexual.

OTHER MODELS

Other models have been developed, as well. For example, it has been suggested that the Kübler-Ross theory of grieving might serve as a sexual identity model [42; 43]. While the stages of grieving (i.e., denial, anger, etc.) are not clearly demarcated, the Kübler-Ross model has been helpful to understanding what an individual experiences during the coming-out process. The model has also been useful to understanding the responses of family members to self-disclosure by a LGBTQI individual [34].

Regardless of the model considered, many LGBTQI youth become aware that they are experiencing sexual thoughts and feelings different from those of their peers at a time when they are trying to master the developmental tasks of adolescence, including achievement of identity, self-esteem, and social skills [44]. While gay adolescents must go through the same developmental tasks as heterosexual adolescents, gay youth have additional identity development factors to incorporate into their self-concept [45]. For example, they are forced to learn to manage a stigmatized identity often without active support and modeling from their parents and family [46]. Thus, a lesbian or gay youth is trying to manage at least two tasks simultaneously: human maturation and establishment of self-identity as a sexual minority youth. A gay youth from a racial minority is working concurrently on a third task related to developing personal identity, that of incorporating a racial identity into the personal identity.

Little research has examined the influence that ethnicity has on sexual identity development. Because the social category of ethnicity includes a complex interaction of factors, such as culture, religion, family, country of origin, and social experience, researchers have found it difficult to identify which of these factors is responsible for the observed differences being studied. In an effort to begin to identify general ethnic group differences and to create a foundation for research of the factors that influence ethnic and sexual minority

development, some researchers have begun exploring the aspects of sexual identity development among male youths. They have found that Latino youth may report the earliest awareness of same sex attractions because of the role that masculinity plays in their culture. For these youth, as well as for African American and Asian American youths, the process of developing one's sexual identity cannot be well understood through the application of the traditional models discussed earlier. This is because these models suggest a uniform timing and sequencing of "coming out" events that has not been demonstrated in these minority youth populations [47; 48].

Table 1 and *Table 2* present select summary statements from the research related to ethnic sexual minority male youths [47]. These summary statements do not capture human differences or explain the development of or role of cultural norms that should be kept in mind while considering ethnic sexual identity development.

Models of the sexual identity process suggest that there are certain behaviors that a healthcare professional might observe related to the different stages of "coming out." Application of cognitive dissonance theory helps to explain the origins of behaviors that could be matched to some of the stages of a model. According to this theory, there is a tendency for individuals to seek consistency among their cognitions (i.e., beliefs and opinions) because they want to conform to society's expectations and attitudes. When individuals perceive that their beliefs and opinions do not conform to those of society, intrapersonal tension and discomfort develop. In an effort to reduce the tension or dissonance, individuals will try to adjust their thoughts, attitudes, and behaviors, thus attempting to conform. Those individuals who first recognize feelings of same-sex attraction may experience emotional turmoil. When discrepancy between attitudes and behaviors exists, the attitude will most likely change to accommodate the behavior [49; 50; 51].

COMMON DEVELOPMENT EXPERIENCES	
Milestone	Findings
Timing of development milestones	All ethnic groups included in the sample (white, African American, Latino, Asian American)
Same sex attractions	15 to 17 years of age
First disclosure	Usually about 17 years of age to a close female or gay friend
Disclosure to family	Common experience in that the timing differed
Internalized homophobia	No increased level noted in this sample population, contrary to the authors' predictions.
Integration of ethnic and sexual identities	Many youths reported that they felt pressure to choose between their ethnic and sexual identities. About half of the ethnic minority youths felt that they fully accepted their ethnic and sexual identities.
Same-sex romantic and sexual involvement	Rates of sexual involvement were a function of age and disclosure. Findings suggested that youths of all ethnic groups develop same-sex relationships after they disclose their identity to others. No ethnic differences emerged in rates of same-sex involvement.
<i>Source: [47]</i>	

Table 1

ETHNIC-SPECIFIC DEVELOPMENTAL EXPERIENCES	
Milestone	Findings
Timing of identity milestones	Latino youths reported earlier awareness of same-sex attractions than African American and white youths.
Sequencing of identity milestones	Most African American youths in the sample engaged in sex before labeling their sexual identity. Asian American youths overwhelmingly engaged in sex only after labeling themselves as gay or bisexual. Asian American gay and bisexual youths reported first engaging in sex with males about 3 years later than all other youths.
Disclosure to family members	Less than half of ethnic-minority youths reported disclosure to family members, even though models of sexual identity development presume that disclosure to family members is a necessary milestone in the development of a positive sexual identity. Silence about sexuality among Asian American families and the rigid gender roles in Latino families may lead to increased rates of internalized homophobia in addition to feeling that their same-sex attractions are reprehensible, thus becoming less likely to disclose their sexual identity to members of their family and ethnic community.
Opposite-sex romantic and sexual relationships	Asian American youths were found to be the least likely to report sexual and romantic relationships with women. Among white, African American, and Latino cultures, not dating has implications for homosexuality. Youths may develop heterosexual relationships to mask their same-sex attractions from family members and peers and/or to test whether these relationships will "cure" them.
<i>Source: [47]</i>	

Table 2

Common health problems associated with the stages of a four-stage model of the sexual identity (coming out) process have been identified. For example, during the identification stage, the health picture has been described as “rather gloomy” and includes feelings of severe guilt, reduced self-esteem, overwhelming aloneness, and physical manifestations, such as ulcers and other health problems [39]. More recently, homosexual identity formation and the implications for healthcare practice have been addressed [50]. Healthcare professionals must understand the importance of demonstrating awareness of gay or lesbian existence through, for example, the use of inclusive interviewing techniques. Antigay attitudes and stereotypes may hinder the healthy development of a child at the respective stages [52]. For example, positively managing the developmental task of industry versus inferiority may be impaired when youth experience a sense of little or no worth as a result of rejection.

UNIQUE HEALTH AND SAFETY CONCERNS

Many similarities exist between the LGBTQI population and the general population related to health and safety concerns. For example, all individuals of any age should feel safe, which is a foundational need [52]. Yet, differences between the populations do exist. Heterosexism and homophobia may create environments that place LGBTQI individuals of any age at increased risk for safety and health concerns [19; 24]. Results from *The 2007 National School Climate Survey*, which included more than 6,000 LGBT student participants, have illustrated this risk [53]:

- 86.2% of students reported that they had been verbally harassed (called names or threatened) because of their sexual orientation.

- 44.1% of students reported that they had been physically harassed (pushed or shoved) because of their sexual orientation.
- 22.1% of students reported that they had been physically assaulted (punched, kicked, or injured with a weapon) because of their sexual orientation.

Transgender youth face an even higher level of victimization in school than do their nontransgender lesbian, gay, and bisexual peers. A study conducted by the Gay, Lesbian, and Straight Education Network (GLSEN), consisting of 295 transgender students who had also participated in the *National School Climate Survey*, revealed that [54]:

- Because of their sexual orientation, 69% reported feeling unsafe in school; 89% had been verbally harassed in the past year; 55% had been physically harassed; and 28% had been physically assaulted.
- Because of how they expressed their gender, 65% reported feeling unsafe in school; 87% had been verbally harassed; 53% had been physically harassed; and 26% had been physically assaulted.
- Most of these students (54%) did not report the events to school authorities, and among those who did (33%), few felt that school authorities had effectively addressed the situation.

LGBTQI adults have also experienced increased incidents of violence. The Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) has consistently ranked anti-gay violence as the third most frequent form of bias-motivated crime. In 2007, the FBI reported that 16.6% of the total 7,621 reported single-bias incidents had been motivated by bias related to sexual orientation [55]. Also in 2007, the National Coalition of Anti-Violence Programs reported a 24% increase (compared to the previous year) in the number of victims who had reported incidents of anti-LGBT violence [56].

Health maintenance and promotion are important to the LGBTQI community, but these issues have received little attention in the general public's health-related media. Many Internet sites provide health information related to sensitive topics for the general population as well as the gay population. However, a difference exists between the general population and the LGBTQI population when the gay individual cannot talk further with a healthcare professional about a sensitive topic because of fear of stigmatization or discrimination. Some Internet sites have been able to address, in part, the sensitive health information needs of the gay community (see *Resources*).

YOUTH

While there is some evidence that societal attitudes are less oppressive than in the past, contemporary gay youth will nevertheless experience a lifetime of exposure to entrenched negative societal attitudes. Consequently, healthcare professionals who have an awareness of and sensitivity to sexual minority youth and their unique health and safety concerns are in a position to assist the youth to manage their developmental tasks and address their health needs as they mature. The goal should be to encourage young adults to be comfortable with themselves, thus becoming more likely to demonstrate sound health practices.



According to the American Academy of Pediatrics, the deadly consequences of HIV and AIDS, the damaging effects of violence and ostracism, and the increased prevalence of adolescent suicidal behavior underscore the critical need to address and seek to prevent the major physical and mental health problems that confront nonheterosexual youths in their transition to a healthy adulthood.

(http://www.guidelines.gov/summary/summary.aspx?doc_id=5328. Last accessed September 17, 2009.)

Level of Evidence: Expert Opinion/Consensus Statement

The “homosexual adolescent” was first acknowledged by empirical social science in 1972 [57; 58]. Additional empirical research reports were not available until the late 1980s, and sexual minority youth continued to remain largely invisible, even within the lesbian and gay community, until the 1990s [59]. Fortunately, the body of professional literature related to gay youth has been evolving quickly.

Many LGBTQI youth say they knew at an early age that the sexual thoughts and feelings they were experiencing were somehow different from other youth, but they did not understand the meaning of the difference. Although much variability among gay youth exists, the average age of same-sex attractions is approximately 7.7 years of age for boys and 9 years of age for girls [60]. It is not until adolescence, when the capacity for abstract thought has been developed, that youth are able to analyze their responses to others and place these responses and associated feelings in a larger context [61]. Behaviors and feelings that adolescents recognize as gender atypical may result in fear of humiliation or physical violence, shame, and judgment as unhealthy or deviant [62].

Events in our nation's schools have contributed to public awareness of the prevalence of humiliation and violence among students. The school environment must be considered when thinking about the unique health and safety needs of LGBTQI youth. “Because young people spend so much time in schools, and because of the relationship between academic achievement and later accomplishments, these settings are of crucial importance to understanding the transition of lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth into early adulthood” [57]. Survey results from public school educators and administrators have revealed that while public school educators acknowledge that problems for LGBTQI youth exist in schools, administrators believe there are adequate programs already in place to handle the needs of the LGBTQI youth population [64].

Several sources, documenting the dangerous and punishing atmosphere in school for some LGBTQI youth, have challenged this perception [19]. This and other problematic attitudes in the schools have a significant impact on the academic performance and goals of LGBTQI students, including absenteeism, lower grades, and foregoing post-secondary education [53]. Heterosexist peer cultural norms make LGBTQI youth extremely vulnerable in the school setting.

In 2001, 2,064 public school students (grades 8 through 11) were interviewed on behalf of the American Association of University Women (AAUW) about their experiences of sexual harassment, which was defined as unwanted, unwelcome, disliked, and life-interrupting sexual behavior. Being called gay or lesbian was one of 14 examples of sexual harassment provided to the students, who reported the name calling as one of the most common and most upsetting forms of nonphysical harassment in school. Approximately 73% of students interviewed indicated that they would be “very upset” if someone called them gay or lesbian, but only 61% indicated that they would report its occurrence, even when it was done to someone they knew personally [65; 66]. A significant number of students (38%) in the AAUW study also reported that teachers and other school employees had sexually harassed students [66].

Some parents and teachers believe that verbal harassment, which belittles, demeans, and ridicules, is normal teasing and common among teens. This is reflected in comments such as, “Those are just words the kids use these days. It doesn’t mean he’s calling someone homosexual.” It has been suggested, however, that while teasing appears to be a positive experience for most young people, ridicule is clearly not desirable. Ridicule has been connected with low self-esteem and several clinical symptoms, such as hostility, depression, and interpersonal sensitivity. Peer ridicule may also be a tremendous source of stress for some youth, and the effects of frequent, repeated ridicule have been found to be

cumulative [136]. Also, self-labeling at an earlier age exposes the gay youth to verbal harassment and/or assault for a longer period of time. This longer exposure increases the risk of stress and the potential for damaging effects on the youth’s health unless access to helping resources is provided. This increased risk for gay youth may be the result of externalized and internalized homophobia that is more pronounced during adolescence than at other times in the life cycle [67].

The effects of ridicule should also be applied to transgender youth. Young gay males are more likely than heterosexual males to experience increased harassment, especially if they display behaviors that are interpreted as feminine [57]. The effects of prolonged, chronic stress associated with discrimination may contribute to health problems, such as hypertension, in adult gay men [70].

LGBTQI youth may employ many coping strategies in an attempt to understand themselves and their place in society. Some may withdraw physically and emotionally, perhaps in an effort to avoid discovery. Others may turn to substance abuse or develop eating disorders. Among LGBTQI youth, the rates of suicide ideation and attempts have been reported to be alarmingly high [8; 53]. Some LGBTQI youth strive for academic or athletic achievement, perfectionism, or become overly involved in extracurricular activities in an effort to avoid their feelings [10]. Still others, as a reaction against unacceptable thoughts and attractions, may exaggerate their heterosexuality and engage in promiscuous behavior [68]. Many become homeless. According to a report published by the National Gay and Lesbian Task Force (NGLTF), an estimated 20% to 40% of the 1.6 million homeless American youth are LGBT. Some of these youth, when they came out to their parents or guardians, were told to leave home. Some left home to escape physical, sexual, or emotional abuse. Youth who end up at homeless shelters have also reported being threatened, belittled, and abused by shelter staff [69].

Legal events have occurred that demonstrate a growing awareness of the specific legal needs of the gay youth population. For example, in 1996, a gay student in the Wisconsin public school system was awarded over \$900,000 in damages when a federal jury found school officials liable for not protecting the student, who had experienced several years of harassment, including physical and psychological assault. During the years of harassment, the student had dropped out of school twice, required surgeries for injuries from assaults, run away from home, and tried to kill himself several times. He was subsequently diagnosed with post-traumatic stress disorder [137]. In the spring of 2009, an 11-year-old Massachusetts boy committed suicide after enduring constant bullying at the middle school he attended, including being called “gay” and “faggot,” even though the boy had not identified himself as gay. Just two weeks later, the suicide of an elementary school student in Georgia was also attributed to anti-LGBT bullying. This student also had not identified himself as gay [71]. Their deaths prompted introduction of the Safe Schools Improvement Act, H.R. 2262. This act (which amends the Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities Act of 1994) requires schools to implement comprehensive antibullying policies and to include bullying and harassment data in their needs assessment reporting. Any school that receives federal funding must implement an antibullying policy that identifies categories often targeted by bullies, such as race, religion, sexual orientation, and gender identity/expression [72].

Gay youth must eventually develop interpersonal skills that allow them to meet other gay youth. Like other adolescents, they must develop a sense of personal attractiveness and a healthy self-esteem while learning that risky sexual activity, more common among these adolescents, does not promote emotional health. They must learn same-sex relationship skills while integrating their public and private selves to create their homosexual identity. Not all LGBTQI youth experience difficulties; many are resilient and resourceful, manage stressors well, and develop into productive and healthy adults [138]. Research exploring development of this resiliency is needed.

There is a vital connection between a sense of community and psychological well-being. While other minorities have family to role model for them and protect them, sexual minority youth usually do not have such support. Sexual minority adults who could serve as positive role models may fear that they will be accused of “recruiting members” or behaving inappropriately [10]. Sexual minority adults are often sensitive to stimulating false beliefs among the general population.

Although many LGBTQI youth are self-disclosing at younger ages, many factors affect the decision to do so. For example, disclosure of one’s sexual orientation to healthcare providers may depend on where in the sexual identity process the individual finds herself or himself [39]. Physical factors, such as being deaf, or social factors, such as financial support, may also have an impact on the coming-out process. Disclosing too early could jeopardize the support that already exists [73]. Studies have demonstrated that disclosing sexual orientation to family members during the high school years may create some danger for LGBTQI adolescents, including, as previously discussed, abuse and the threat of being made homeless [69].



The American Academy of Pediatrics recommends that nonheterosexual adolescents be counseled to think carefully about the consequences of disclosure and to take their time in sharing information that could have many repercussions.

(http://www.guidelines.gov/summary/summary.aspx?doc_id=5328. Last accessed September 17, 2009.)

Level of Evidence: Expert Opinion/Consensus Statement

Not all gay youth are aware of their right to medical confidentiality. LGBTQI youth who know their rights have been found to be more likely to discuss sexual orientation and concerns with their healthcare providers. Most who do not discuss sexual orientation have said that they would have done so had they known that their confidentiality was protected [38].

Many resources are available to LGBTQI youth and their families, particularly in metropolitan regions. For example, Parents, Families, and Friends of Lesbians and Gays (PFLAG) is an international organization that offers support, education, and advocacy through online information sharing and community chapter efforts. Additional resources, including hotlines for youth, are provided at the conclusion of this course. Before recommending a resource, healthcare professionals should assess it for absence of social bias and provision of a professionally neutral environment [74].

ADULTS

Distress caused by the experiences of marginalization, stigma, prejudice, discrimination, and internalized homophobia is a consistent theme of the professional literature. Research has suggested that most gay men and lesbians adopt negative attitudes toward their homosexuality early in their developmental histories. As previously discussed, because health problems often begin in youth, internalized homophobia may also affect health indirectly, especially when operating below consciousness in adults [21]. The coming-out process has been identified as a source of chronic stress, resulting in psychogenic suppression of the immune response for the LGBTQI individual. A direct correlation has been found between where in the coming-out process an individual is and an increased incidence of physical illness, such as malignancies and some infectious disease processes other than HIV/AIDS [75]. Internalized homophobia has also been associated with high-risk sexual behaviors, such as practicing unsafe sex. Research has explored the potential influence of these negative attitudes on health experiences and behaviors, particularly those of gay men as related to HIV and AIDS. Difficulties with intimacy and other aspects of relationships may be made manifest as well, including an inability to disclose their HIV status to sex partners [22]. African American men who have sex with men have been found to be less likely to identify themselves as gay, more likely to

report having sex with women, and less comfortable discussing their behavior with friends. They have also been found to exhibit higher levels of internalized homophobia [72].

Prejudice and fear of discrimination have resulted in difficulty accessing or avoidance in seeking health care [15]. While some healthcare providers have acknowledged that LGBTQI individuals are part of their patient populations, they have determined that sexual orientation does not need to be addressed [8]. Others have indicated that they believe gay men and lesbians deserve to be ill or that they are unworthy of treatment. Reports exist of a “rough” or “violent” digital rectal exam on a patient after the patient was discovered to be gay [8].

Prejudice has also resulted in legal and legislative actions with potentially negative consequences for the LGBTQI population. For example, in 2004, the Michigan House of Representatives proposed a piece of legislation known as the “conscientious objector accommodation act” (House Bill 4741). This bill would have allowed healthcare providers the right to refuse to provide services that conflict with their beliefs. (Three separate bills would have offered the same right to healthcare corporations, insurance companies, and pharmacies.) The bill forbade discrimination against gays and lesbians but did not provide protection for transgender individuals. While the bill did not pass, opponents expressed concern about its potential to harm the health of LGBTQI persons. The bill was also considered to be a violation of the AMA Code of Medical Ethics [139]. Other measures (introduced in Arkansas, Michigan, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Texas, Vermont, and West Virginia) would allow pharmacists and other healthcare providers to refuse treatment or medication to anyone by citing ethical, moral, or religious grounds. Georgia law already states that “it shall not be considered unprofessional conduct for any pharmacist to refuse to fill a prescription based on his or her ethical or moral beliefs” [76].

Some progress has been made, however. For example, in 2003, a California appeals court ruled that healthcare providers cannot discriminate against patients based on their sexual orientation. The decision was the result of a lawsuit filed by a gay woman who perceived discrimination based on the religious beliefs of providers at an infertility clinic [77].

Issues related to same-sex marriage, civil union, and domestic partnerships have been and continue to be debated in many state legislatures as well as in the federal legislature. In 1996, the U.S. Congress passed the Defense of Marriage Act (DOMA), which barred federal recognition of same-sex marriages and allowed states to ignore gay marriages performed elsewhere. The constitutionality of DOMA was challenged and an appeal brought, but the appeal was dismissed in 2006 and denied a hearing by the U.S. Supreme Court [78]. In September 2009, the Respect for Marriage Act (RMA) was introduced to repeal DOMA [133]. In May 2008, the California Supreme Court ruled that same-sex couples have the same fundamental right to marry as opposite-sex couples. Then, in November, California voters approved Proposition 8, which banned same-sex marriage. A challenge to Proposition 8 was heard by the California Supreme Court, which upheld the ban to same-sex marriage. The debate in California continues [79]. Massachusetts began allowing civil marriages for same-sex couples in 2004. Connecticut began allowing same-sex marriages in November 2008. Iowa, Vermont, and Maine have approved legislation (effective September 2009) allowing same-sex marriages; and same-sex marriages will be legal in New Hampshire effective January 2010 [79; 80].

The controversy surrounding same-sex marriage has led to unique healthcare access debates and decisions. Several states' courts and attorneys general have concluded that prohibitions on same-sex marriage do not prevent same-sex couples from sharing health benefits. But advocates fear that broadly written constitutional bans might be interpreted by governments and business as prohibiting them from extending health and other

employment benefits to an employee's same-sex partner. This proved true when, in 2007, a Michigan appeals court ruling affirmed the attorney general's finding that state and local governments may not extend such benefits because of the state's ban on same-sex marriage [78]. Large businesses in other states, such as Massachusetts, have dropped or are phasing out health benefits for unmarried gay couples [81]. Thirty-six states have statutes banning gay marriage and 30 have constitutional prohibitions [80]. Debate about and media attention to the access to health care for gay individuals in same-sex relationships will likely continue.

AMA Resolution 414 calls for recognition of the healthcare and other needs of the homosexual population, while acknowledging that more professional knowledge and skills about the LGBTQI population are needed [14]. Health concerns among the LGBTQI population include: cancer; family concerns, including domestic violence; immunizations; infectious diseases, such as sexually transmitted infections (STIs), HIV/AIDS, and hepatitis; mental health, including suicide, body image, and eating disorders; substance abuse; and violence [8; 9].

Bisexual Health Issues

Existing research about bisexual health is scarce in large measure because the health issues that directly affect the bisexual population have either been ignored or treated as identical to the issues that affect heterosexuals or gay men and lesbians. Bisexuals have been found to experience greater health disparities and a greater likelihood of suffering from depression than the broader population [82].

Estimating the frequency of bisexuality in the U.S. depends on how it is defined (i.e., in terms of behavior, attraction, or self-identity) and ranges from 0.7% to 12.9% in men and from 0.3% to 5.9% in women [82]. Some individuals who have sex with both women and men choose not to identify themselves as bisexual. Others acknowledge the orientation but do not necessarily involve themselves with more than one gender. The distinction between identity and behavior is an important one.

To gain a better understanding of the unique needs of the bisexual population, healthcare providers should consider the individual's sexual behavior, not simply his or her sexual identity. The NGLTF has published recommendations for creating a friendlier "bi-culture," particularly for the provision of HIV/STI prevention services [82].

Transgender Health Issues

Transgender health issues include many of the same concerns as for lesbians, gays, and bisexuals, but even less research is available that has studied the effects of stigma, violence, and social and economic marginalization. Problems related to stigma may be worse for transgender youth than for LGBTQ persons. These youth strive to remain invisible and appear indistinguishable from their nontransgender peers in order to avoid harassment and abuse. However, this results in isolation and prevents them from seeking appropriate mental health or medical care until a crisis has arisen [66; 67; 83]. The same issues may be applied to transgender adults as well, including being stigmatized by prominent gay and lesbian movements and organizations [36].

Prejudice and lack of knowledge create significant barriers to medical and social services and care for transgender individuals of all ages. They also contribute to this population's underutilization of health and social services [8; 36]. Transgender individuals must educate healthcare professionals about transgenderism and related concerns before adequate care can be offered [8]. Some transgender organizations have been advancing toward this goal by working with public health, medical, and mental health researchers [36].

Cancer

Breast cancer seems to be the most researched topic in lesbian health [8]. Lesbians have a richer concentration of risk factors for breast cancer than any other subset of women in the world [24]. Obesity, alcohol consumption, smoking, and nulliparity contribute to the risk of developing breast cancer, and avoidance of a healthcare system that has been

discriminatory of lesbians in the past may result in delayed cancer detection and treatment. Lack of health insurance for unmarried partners creates access barriers to quality health care [16; 84]. While few differences between the diagnosis and treatment of lesbian and heterosexual women have been found, lesbian women have demonstrated a puzzling increase in the number of chemotherapy-induced side effects, possibly resulting from aspects of internalized homophobia, fear of compromised care, or other causes [85].

Screening for male cancers, such as prostate and testicular cancer, as well as colon and anal cancer may be negatively impacted because of the challenges for gay men in receiving care. Lack of insurance coverage for unmarried partners is another barrier [86; 87]. Research has suggested that gay and bisexual males with health histories of STIs and/or anal intercourse are at increased risk for anal cancer [8]. Human papillomavirus (HPV) has been associated with the development of anal cancer, but much research must be done related to subtypes of HPV and anal cancer incidence, including the use of anal Pap smears for early detection [8]. Healthcare professionals working with men who have sex with men are in an excellent position to initiate this research.

Family Concerns

Family concerns affect a growing number in the LGBTQI community. Because gay and lesbian parents may face loss of custody of children or loss of hospital visitation rights as a result of their sexual orientation, researchers have had difficulty determining the prevalence of gay and lesbian parents raising children. According to the 2000 U.S. Census, 33% of female same-sex couple households and 22% of male same-sex couple households reported at least one child, 18 years of age and younger, residing in the home [88]. Children of Lesbians and Gays Everywhere (COLAGE) is a valuable resource for obtaining a wide range of information about children of gay parents (see **Resources**).

The “lesbian baby boom” is a phrase that has been used to describe the increasing numbers of lesbians who have come out and are choosing to have children [8]. While donor insemination constitutes the majority of the pregnancies among lesbians, other routes to parenthood have been explored by lesbians and gay men. Research has shown no negative outcomes for children raised by gay or lesbian parents nor has it demonstrated that those children are more likely to become gay or lesbian [88]. Several national organizations have adopted policy statements in support of gay and lesbian parents [88; 89].

Because healthcare facilities do not always recognize same-gender couples as a family, visitation eligibility has often been denied to partners or nonbiological parents [79]. The Joint Commission has defined “family” as “the person(s) who plays a significant role in the individual’s (patient’s) life. This may include a person(s) not legally related to the individual” [79; 90]. The AMA also supports equality in health care for partners and legal recognition of domestic partners for hospital visitation rights [91]. While many biological families of LGBTQI individuals are supportive and do not interfere with partner decisions regarding care, other biological families may ignore and reject partner wishes, even when wishes have been discussed and documented by the gay patient.

Immunization

Vaccination needs for gay and lesbian individuals are not so different from the general population, except that gay and bisexual men are at increased risk for contracting viral hepatitis [86]. Accurate data regarding the success of vaccination campaigns is not available. Existing information has suggested that vaccination rates for men who have sex with men are low [42]. Few men are vaccinated against hepatitis B, even though the vaccine has been available since the mid-1980s. Attitude, social norm, perceived risk of contracting the virus, expectancies regarding the outcome of vaccination, interaction between risk perception and outcome expectancies, and perceived vulnerability have been found to be predictors of vaccina-

tion intention [92; 93; 94]. Healthcare providers should include vaccination histories as part of their patient assessment and recommend vaccinations as indicated. The use of interviewing techniques that demonstrate a nonjudgmental attitude and awareness that a patient may be gay, even if he is in a heterosexual marriage, is also important.

Sexually Transmitted Infections and HIV/AIDS

STIs among the general population are well-known health problems. Stigmas associated with STIs and homosexual sexual behaviors have made determining the prevalence rates of STIs in men who have sex with men difficult; however, these men appear to be at more risk than the general population. Also problematic is the increased incidence of drug resistance. Gonorrhea in men who have sex with men has been reported to be resistant to fluoroquinolones [92].

AIDS has been diagnosed for more than half a million men who have sex with men. More than 300,000 have died since the beginning of the epidemic. Men who have sex with men comprised 68% of all men living with HIV in 2005, even though only about 5% to 7% of men in the U.S. reported having sex with other men [95]. While a decline in the rates of STIs in gay and bisexual male groups was reported following the start of HIV/AIDS prevention programs, data has indicated a rise in reports of unprotected anal sex. Also, young men who have sex with men are reportedly at particular risk for HIV because they are more likely to engage in high-risk behaviors [8]. The effect of behavioral changes on HIV transmission has not been determined, but preliminary data suggest that the incidence of HIV infection might be increasing among some gay men. These trends have been attributed to changing attitudes concerning HIV infection because of the effects of improved HIV/AIDS therapy on quality of life and survival, changing patterns of substance abuse, demographic shifts in gay populations, and changes in sex partner networks resulting from new venues for partner acquisition [96]. Research has suggested that non-gay identified men may be

more responsive to intervention education than gay-identified men. This has been attributed to less exposure to prior intervention messages, leading to a greater impact from initial exposure [97]. A study conducted over 4 years in 6 major urban areas, has confirmed that young African American men (15 to 22 years of age) who have sex with other men have the highest risk of contracting HIV; this is a higher risk than any other group in the U.S. Most in the study group did not know that they were infected [98]. Additional research is needed to identify which behavioral strategies (e.g., reducing unprotected anal sex, having oral sex instead of anal sex) most effectively reduce transmission of STIs and HIV/AIDS among gay men [97].

An increasing number of HIV cases among heterosexual black women has drawn attention in the media to a phenomenon known as the “down low.” While this phenomenon is too complex to address fully here, the term is used to describe the behavior of men who have sex with men as well as with women, but who do not identify themselves as gay or bisexual [99]. Often, their female partners are unaware that these men are also having sex with men. While the term is thought to have originated in the African American community, the behaviors associated with the term are not new and not specific to black men who have sex with men [99]. The media has linked the “down low” to the black AIDS epidemic, but the CDC has reported that there is no data to confirm or refute publicized accounts of HIV risk behavior associated with these men [99].

STIs are thought to be less common among women who have sex with women than among sexually active women who are heterosexual. Transmission risk may vary by the specific STI and sexual practice (e.g., oral-genital sex, penetrative sex items) [96]. Although disease incidence among these women appears to be less than among the heterosexual female population, HPV and other organisms are transmissible female to female [8]. Transmission of HPV can occur with skin-to-skin or skin-to-mucosa contact, which can occur dur-

ing sex between women [96]. HPV has also been associated with the development of genital warts and invasive cervical cancer. The CDC has recommended that all women undergo Pap test screening according to national guidelines, regardless of sexual preference or sexual practices [96].

Mental Health

The LGBTQI population may be at increased risk for mental distress, mental disorders, substance abuse, and suicide because of their exposure to stressors related to society’s antigay attitudes [8; 30]. Studies examining the prevalence rates for suicide ideation have shown that the rates are elevated among gay and bisexual men as well as among lesbians [8; 100; 101].


Clinical studies have indicated that sexual orientation may be a significant predictor of eating disorders. Gay men have demonstrated more dissatisfaction and a greater desire to be thin than heterosexual men [102]. Studies of the role that masculinity and femininity play in relation to men and women who develop eating disorders have shown that men and women with higher levels of femininity have greater levels of dieting behaviors [103]. A negative and inaccurate sense of body image (body dysmorphic disorder) is a primary cause of eating disorders in women and men [102].

Support systems and networks are known to be important to one’s mental health maintenance. While social institutions, such as church, family, and the legal system, are generally thought to be less supportive of gay individuals than they are of heterosexual individuals, important helpful changes have been occurring in many of these institutions [104]. Selected church leadership has been in public view related to the acceptance of openly homosexual theologians in the church. Families, as an institution, have spoken publicly and given support to their gay family members through organizations such as PFLAG. Also, where legal decisions in favor of the gay community have been made, public awareness of potentially dangerous environments for gay youth has increased.

Sampling difficulties have made it challenging to research the prevalence of substance abuse in the LGBTQI community. For example, studies of alcoholism and drug use have sampled gay and lesbian individuals in bars, a location where higher alcohol and drug use might be expected [8]. Substance use rates for gay and lesbian individuals have been reported as high as 20% to 30%, compared to a rate of 10% among the general public when based on this sampling methodology [24]. However, studies using other sampling methodologies have generally disputed the high incidence of alcoholism and substance use [8].

The pressure of coming of age in a society that says that LGBTQI individuals should not exist or act on their feelings contributes to the use of alcohol and drugs [105]. Internalized homophobia develops and creates feelings of denial, fear, anxiety, and even revulsion about being gay, socializing in the gay community, and having gay sex. Substance abuse temporarily relieves these negative feelings, allowing homosexual feelings to be acted upon. The homophobic feelings return during drug withdrawal. Substance abuse occurs again, contributing to the self-hatred. Depression leading to a worsening self-esteem may result from the use of alcohol and many other drugs [105].

Participating in Alcoholics Anonymous and other recovery programs may be difficult for the LGBTQI individual. Some of the programs suggest giving up old friends, especially fellow substance users, and staying away from parties and bars. These actions may be too difficult for an individual who has limited social contacts and no other available avenues of social outlet [105]. Someone who is socially withdrawn or insecure with his or her own social skills may have difficulty limiting what contact exists [106].



The Center for Substance Abuse Treatment asserts that, in the context of substance abuse recovery and family therapy, family can be a very sensitive issue for gay and lesbian clients and therapists must be careful to use the client's definition of family rather than rely on a heterosexual-based model. Many lesbian and gay clients may be reluctant to include other members of their family of origin in therapy because of fear of rejection and further distancing.

(http://www.guidelines.gov/summary/summary.aspx?doc_id=5886. Last accessed September 17, 2009.)

Level of Evidence: Expert Opinion/Consensus Statement (Recommendations are based on a combination of clinical experience and research-based evidence.)

Other concerns related to recovery groups exist. Heterosexism in support groups makes coming out difficult for LGBTQI participants. The difficulty of coming out or accepting one's own sexual orientation may become an excuse to resume alcohol or drug abuse [24]. National organizations, such as the National Gay and Lesbian Health Association and the Gay and Lesbian Medical Association, can assist with referrals to appropriate recovery groups [105].

Tobacco use among sexual minorities may be higher than in the general population, resulting in an increased rate of tobacco-related health problems [107]. Because LGBTQI individuals may experience difficulty accessing the healthcare system, they are at an increased risk for missing health promotion activities. As a result, illness detection and treatment are delayed.

Domestic Violence

Because of under-reporting, it is impossible to accurately gauge the incidence rate of domestic violence in same-gender couples. However, it has been estimated to be roughly the same (25%) as the rate for opposite-gender couples [108]. There is a dearth of research information available about the emotional and physical health of individuals in violent relationships. Despite fear about the

consequences of revealing their sexual orientation, community denial, and not wanting to further stigmatize the LGBTQI community, more LGBTQI individuals have been speaking out about violence and abuse in their relationships [108; 109]. Domestic violence is in many ways the same as domestic violence in heterosexual relationships. That is, it is cyclical; the abusing partner's purpose is to wield and maintain control and power; the abused partner suffers fear and isolation; and the abuse may be physical, sexual, emotional, economical, or psychological and may include threats and coercion [108]. Homophobia makes accessing information and support services problematic for LGBTQI individuals [108].

Violence

Violence toward an LGBTQI individual affects the entire gay community and the general population. Each year, thousands of incidents of violence against gays and lesbians are reported. These incidents range from verbal abuse to homicide [110]. While statistics demonstrating the incidences of antigay violence are frightening, they do not adequately convey the fear and anguish experienced not only by survivors but also by their communities [111]. Violence has occurred in a variety of settings and in all ages of LGBTQI individuals. Settings range from elementary schools to nursing homes.

Violent crimes based on one's sexual orientation were not recorded until after 1990, when the federal Hate Crime Statistics Act went into effect. This law requires the Department of Justice to collect and publish annual statistics on crimes that manifest prejudice based on race, religion, sexual orientation, and ethnic origin [112; 113]. Education for law enforcement personnel about evaluating a crime scene for evidence of a bias crime has taken place across the nation. However, determining the accurate incidence of antigay bias crimes remains difficult. Interviews with LGBTQI victims have revealed their fear of disclosure and other anxieties. This has resulted in victim failure to report assaults or pursue investigations and has contributed to difficulties with data collection [111].

LGBTQI individuals experience many of the same physical and emotional effects following violence as the general public. However, LGBTQI individuals "are often the objects of hate-based violence because of their sexual orientation and may show signs of exacerbated internalized homophobia after victimization" [110]. Healthcare professionals working with these individuals should be informed about the physical and mental health consequences of violence associated with stigmatization based on sexual orientation [110].

ELDERLY

Research challenges have made determining the size and other demographic and sociological characteristics of the elderly gay population very difficult. The NGLTF has estimated that approximately 3 million LGBT elders reside in the U.S., with the number expected to double by 2030 [114]. Literature discussing the health and health needs of gay elderly has also been limited. As a result, identifying problems and advocating for solutions for this population has been challenging [114; 115].

Clearly, elderly gay individuals experience the same marginalization due to age and ethnic or racial heritage as the nation's general population of elderly. Gay elderly experience additional marginalization related to sexual orientation. While federal and state governments offer a wide variety of social programs and services that aim to support the lives of elderly people, rarely do these programs recognize or support the families that LGBTQI people build [115]. For example, same-gender partners do not receive Social Security benefits, no matter how long partners have been together.

In 2006, the U.S. House of Representatives introduced legislation that would have amended the Social Security Act to give same-sex couples the same benefits as others who pay into Social Security [116; 117]. For example, children of same-sex couples would be able to collect survivor benefits if a parent died, and an elderly partner would have access to the survivor benefits that heterosexual widows and widowers receive. The bill did not become law [117].

Although limited, research findings have shed some light on LGBTQI elderly. For example, elderly gay men are more likely to be angry after years of oppression than are non-gays. While some of these elderly men have directed the anger constructively into their occupations or political activism or toward developing strong networks of friends, others have turned the anger inward and consequently experience anxiety, depression, and self-esteem problems related to internalized homophobia [118]. Elderly gays may also receive less sympathy and attention in general when dealing with the loss of a long-term lover or close friend. Because of problems from family members and reactions from healthcare providers and others, the gay individual may also experience complications with the grieving process [118].

Another area of need for the gay elderly is that for HIV/AIDS education. The stereotype that older people are not sexually active has contributed to making the elderly an unnoticed population for AIDS educators and others [119]. As recently as the late 1990s, primary care physicians were less likely to discuss HIV or HIV risk reduction with patients older than 50 years of age than with those 30 years of age and younger [120]. Only within the gay community itself have prevention campaigns targeted all age groups [119].

Researchers have reported striking differences between older and younger gay people related to their attitudes toward public disclosure of their gay sexual orientation [34; 120]. One of the most trying issues related to caring for older LGBTQI individuals is the issue of patient self-disclosure [121]. In general, elderly LGBTQI individuals come from a highly stigmatized background in which they had been considered most of their lives to be ill and deviant. Consequently, they have learned to conceal their sexual orientation as a means of survival [122].

These older LGBTQI individuals grew into adulthood during the Pre-Stonewall Riots period. This was a very oppressive time period for the LGBTQI community and one during which there were no hate crime laws and no research on the incidences of antigay hate crimes. LGBTQI individuals had to learn how to manage homophobia and heterosexism over a lifetime, an effort that requires tremendous daily emotional energy. The healthcare community might never know the full effect that internalized homophobia has had on older lesbian and gay Americans [122]. Having lived through years of stigma, before the ascendance of more positive attitudes toward homosexuality, elderly gay individuals may think that disclosure to a healthcare provider can not or should not be done.

LGBTQI individuals also disagree within their community about self-disclosure, and they do so for a variety of complex reasons. For example, elderly gay individuals who do self-disclose may view it as necessary to override heterosexist assumptions about homosexuality. Or, they may feel that disclosure makes discrediting them more difficult [34]. The subculture's self-examination and discussion about self-disclosure has been dynamic and across intergenerational and intragenerational lines. As a result, healthcare professionals can anticipate that not all gay elderly individuals will be able to self-disclose. At any rate, asking older patients about their sexual orientation may not always be the best approach. By not asking, trust may be more likely to develop between the healthcare professional and the patient. At the same time, it is helpful to know the sexual orientation of the patient. In bereavement work, for example, the older client should be free to reminisce about his or her life partner within a comfortable, trusting environment without fear of critique [121]. Clearly, this is an extremely difficult issue to navigate.

Intergenerational differences related to practical concerns also exist. For example, elderly LGBTQI individuals have identified legal strategies for protecting property as an important concern. A home care option that would offer protection from homophobic atmospheres in nursing homes, allowing more care from significant others and friends, has been identified as another important issue. Emotional needs, such as trust, hope, worries about loss, spirituality, family rejection, and loneliness, have also been identified. Though topics of concern are similar among the LGBTQI generations, manifestation of these topics may vary widely at different times over the individual's life span [120].

Health and loneliness may be more intertwined in the older LGBTQI community [104]. For example, because gay elderly have been closeted most of their lives, they may not know how to connect with existing health support systems provided by the gay community [123]. Many older LGBTQI individuals must also face the impact of HIV/AIDS on aging [117]. AIDS has left many in the elderly gay population with fewer friends and support networks. Many gay community members do not have children, who are a major source of support for the heterosexual population. The fear of developing poor health and experiencing illness may lead the gay individual to concerns about poor quality and quantity of care by healthcare providers who are unaware and insensitive to the gay subculture's unique healthcare needs and concerns [104].

Elderly gays and lesbians have many strengths, among them the learned importance of personal independence in planning for their own futures [122]. Growing older brings greater maturity, wisdom, and experience, resulting in a sense of empowerment for many [104]. Because of experiencing a lifetime of managing the many social stresses related to prejudice and discrimination, older gays and lesbians may be more prepared to cope with social discrimination and losses that accompany aging than are their heterosexual peers [70].

CROSS-CULTURAL COMMUNICATION

Only when the healthcare professional remains sensitive and aware can culturally competent care be provided for the LGBTQI individual. LGBTQI patients' concerns about sexual identity or sexual orientation may exist or be deeply denied. Healthcare professionals are seeing LGBTQI individuals of all ages but may not know who these patients are unless the patient realizes his or her sexual identity and is comfortable enough to disclose it. In many health interactions, it is not necessary for the healthcare professional to know who is gay or questioning, but a comfortable setting should be created in which individuals may seek support and help for their concerns.

The healthcare professional can utilize a variety of strategies to promote personal sensitivity, awareness, and knowledge of the LGBTQI population. Borrowing from the discipline of cross-cultural counseling, four communication skill areas may provide a framework for strategies that the healthcare professional can use to be more culturally competent when interacting with the LGBTQI subculture. The skill areas are [124]:

- Be able to explain a problem or issue from another person's perspective.
- Know what causes the other person to become defensive and resistant.
- Take actions to reduce defensiveness and resistance.
- Know recovery skills to use when communication errors occur.

Use of these skill areas may serve as a bridge to meeting professional and legal responsibilities when interacting with the LGBTQI subculture [73].

APPLICATION OF SKILL AREAS AND CULTURALLY APPROPRIATE STRATEGIES

The first cross-cultural communication skill is to be able to articulate and present a problem or issue as it is seen from another's perspective. To do this, the healthcare professional must learn about the culture in question. Studying the sexual identity models, reading about the history of the gay subculture, watching documentaries related to human sexuality or homosexuality, exploring educational Internet sites, or attending professional workshops addressing gay-related topics are excellent strategies for increasing personal awareness, sensitivity, and knowledge about the LGBTQI population. Because increased contact with minorities has been found to be a very effective strategy for promoting understanding, a healthcare professional could increase interactions with members of the gay community to reduce negative stereotyping, prejudice, and discrimination [125]. Keep in mind that gaining access to a subculture requires sensitivity, patience, and understanding because one's interest in the community may be questioned by subculture members [126].

The second cross-cultural communication skill area involves recognizing defensiveness and resistance and knowing its cause [124]. Problem behaviors arise when the healthcare professional begins to impose personal values on others. Assuming that everyone is heterosexual and demonstrating a lack of knowledge about the health-damaging effects of stigma on the LGBTQI population are two behaviors that may create defensiveness or resistance. Other problem behaviors involve making hostile or discriminatory statements, using slang, and telling or laughing at derogatory jokes about the gay community. Because this active form of collusion furthers stereotypes, the healthcare professional should monitor his or her own behavior so that he/she remains sensitive and culturally competent.

Failure to have wording on patient history and intake documents that is inclusive of sexual minorities and partners could be problematic, as well. For example, do documents include space for a partner notation, or do they ask only for marital status (i.e., single, married, widowed, or divorced)? None of these words may be an honest answer in a gay patient's mind. The Gay and Lesbian Medical Association offers guidelines for creating a safe and comfortable clinical environment (see **Resources**). Intake information should also include an explanation about how confidentiality will be managed.

Disclosing a patient's sexual orientation without the patient's permission should be avoided. Not only might "outing" the patient lead to patient defensiveness, but information about a patient's sexual orientation is protected by the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) [127]. In situations when a patient's disclosure may not remain confidential, some reassurance of respect could be provided if disclosure was always accompanied by the patient's consent.

Healthcare professionals should also be knowledgeable about the visitation policies of their healthcare agency. Policies and practices that are inclusive of partners and co-parents are valuable measures that demonstrate cultural competence.

The third cross-cultural communication skill area requires taking actions to reduce feelings of defensiveness and resistance in oneself and others. One of the most important activities for the culturally competent healthcare professional is to examine one's own feelings, values, and stereotypes regarding culture and, in this case, regarding human sexuality and homosexuality [31]. Examining one's attitudes does not mean that the professional is approving or condoning homosexual orientation or homosexual sexual behaviors. The healthcare professional need not change personal beliefs about homosexuality to provide culturally competent care.

The healthcare professional must ask: “Can I recognize behaviors in others that may indicate feelings of defensiveness and resistance?” Related to youth, the school nurse should observe for individuals who may be trying to make themselves invisible by isolating themselves from others. For example, are there students who are avoiding settings that may place them in a position to be questioned [73]? Adults and the elderly may isolate themselves as well. It seems unlikely that a sexual orientation that has been concealed for a lifetime will be easily or quickly revealed to anyone, even a well-intentioned healthcare professional. Anger, illness, and other manifestations of stress may be evident. Fortunately, many strategies can be suggested to help reduce defensive and resistant feelings.

Promoting an atmosphere of openness and calm may be helpful. Displaying posters, educational materials, and other materials of interest that are positive about the LGBTQI community may signal the existence of a safe environment. For example, a sign in the waiting area that says, “We do not discriminate on the basis of age, race, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, religion, language, or disability,” would be noticed by a gay person. Showing equal respect for friends, partners, spouses, and relatives may also be helpful [128].

Being familiar with at least one of the sexual identity models discussed would assist the healthcare professional to assess at what point in the coming out process an individual may be. For LGBTQI youth, having this awareness could strengthen the planning related to their overwhelming need for anticipatory guidance [73].

Practicing nonjudgmental caring, by developing interviewing skills that employ inclusive terminologies, may also help reduce defensiveness. Speaking up when ridiculing remarks are made is vital. “That kind of joke has no place here” should be sufficient to send the message to a gay observer that the professional is sensitive to LGBTQI concerns [129].

Demonstrating awareness that elderly LGBTQI individuals may have fewer support systems is important. The American Association of Retired People provides Internet resources designed for elderly gay persons. Individuals unable to use Internet services will need assistance with referral sources. Becoming familiar with appropriate LGBTQI community referral sites is important as well. Contact local LGBTQI support agencies for information about their services. Referring gay individuals to a gay-related referral site is like referring a diabetic to the American Diabetes Association [127].

The fourth cross-cultural communication skill area requires acknowledging that mistakes will be made [124]. Heterosexual bias is not the fault of the individual healthcare professional, so expect to make honest mistakes. Some gay individuals have children, so assuming, during conversation, that someone with children is not gay could lead to a communication mistake [73]. Communication with adolescents should focus on developing rapport. When discussing the subject of sexual orientation, teens feel more comfortable if the healthcare professional uses a non-confrontational, casual approach [19].

When communicating with older gay individuals, remember that diverse views exist related to disclosure of their sexual orientation. Providing a comfortable clinical environment, one that visually demonstrates awareness of the LGBTQI community, will assist with the decision to self-disclose. As a result, the opportunity for more complete health data collection is possible so that unique health and safety needs may be identified and addressed.

Learning communication recovery skills will help the healthcare professional accomplish more positive outcomes when providing healthcare or health consultation [124]. Recovery skills include apologizing for an error, focusing on another health need until rapport is re-established, or reversing roles and asking the patient to help you with a problem or information need [124]. For example, if a patient seems offended by something you have

asked or said, simply apologize and offer a brief explanation about why the information is necessary to provide good care. Explain, if you can, why the mistake was made. Perhaps you did not have the awareness you needed. Later, if appropriate, you can ask about another health need (e.g., immunizations) and work toward re-establishing rapport. Learn from the mistake. Remember, it may take time for the LGBTQI person to trust. Most errors will be forgiven if recovery efforts are made with sincerity.

Like all cultures, the gay subculture is dynamic. Many changes have and are taking place within the subculture and within the general population's attitudes toward the subculture. Ongoing education is necessary to remain culturally competent.

CONCLUSION

The gay population is a diverse subculture, representing men and women of all ages and all socioeconomic, ethnic, educational, and religious backgrounds. The population has been described as "hidden and invisible," "marginalized," and "stigmatized." As a result, the unique health and safety needs of the population have often been overlooked or ignored. Healthcare professionals have been seeking more information about this population's health promotion and care needs. More research about the subculture's characteristics, physical and mental health promotion needs, and strategies to address these needs has been requested.

It has been suggested that the available sexual identity models are "fast becoming antiquated" and that they lack explanation for the multifactorial nature of human development [130]. There must be continued effort toward identifying "normal" developmental issues and tasks for the gay individual. Unique issues related to identity development and maintenance, career development, race/ethnicity/social class, coping with antigay violence, AIDS, same-sex coupling, and parenting also require further clarification and validation [118].

Both nurses and physicians have indicated that they need more knowledge and skills to meet the needs of LGBTQI adolescents [19]. For example, a survey of pediatricians indicated a need and desire for further training related to the health of sexual minority youth [131].

The American Psychological Association and the National Association of School Psychologists have advocated funding and support efforts for applied research and scientific evaluations of interventions and programs that are designed to address the issues of lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth in the schools [132]. In 2001, the National Institutes of Health announced a program calling for research into LGBTQI health. Together, the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, the National Institute of Mental Health, and the National Institute of Child Abuse have called for grant applications for the study of behavioral, social, mental health, and substance abuse research in the sexual minority communities [132]. The American Public Health Association has identified the need for public health research on gender identity and sexual orientation [12]. AMA Resolution 414 indicates that more research is needed to provide improved care to the gay population [14]. The Department of Health and Human Services has worked to include subpopulations of women, including lesbian women, in research trials [24]. Other examples exist, but few studies have examined the experiences of older lesbian, gay, and bisexual adults [63].

There are serious challenges to designing research that results in accurate data. From the discipline of counseling, use of the four cross-cultural communication skill areas provides a framework for identifying strategies that a healthcare provider may use to promote culturally competent care for the gay community. Just as learning about other cultures requires ongoing education as the cultures change over time, learning about the gay subculture also requires continuous education as more information becomes available.

RESOURCES

**Parents, Families and Friends
of Lesbians and Gays (PFLAG)**

<http://community.pflag.org>
202-467-8180

Lambda Legal Education and Defense Fund

<http://www.lambdalegal.org>
212-809-8585

**Sexuality Information and Education
Council of the United States (SIECUS)**

<http://www.siecus.org>
New York: 212-819-9770
Washington, D.C.: 202-265-2405

Gay and Lesbian Medical Association

<http://www.glma.org>
415-255-4547

**Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
School Health Guidelines to Prevent
Unintentional Injuries and Violence**

[http://www.cdc.gov/HealthyYouth/
injury/guidelines](http://www.cdc.gov/HealthyYouth/injury/guidelines)
1-800-CDC-INFO
(1-800-232-4636)

Seattle/King County GLBT Health Web Pages

[http://www.kingcounty.gov/healthservices/
health/personal/glbt.aspx](http://www.kingcounty.gov/healthservices/health/personal/glbt.aspx)
206-296-0100

**Children of Lesbians and
Gays Everywhere (COLAGE)**

<http://www.colage.org>
415-861-5437

**Gay and Lesbian Alcoholics
(Alcoholism Recovery Support)**

<http://www.gayalcoholics.com>

Gay, Lesbian and Straight Education Network

<http://www.glsen.org>
212-727-0135

Human Rights Campaign

<http://www.hrc.org>
202-628-4160

National Gay and Lesbian Task Force

<http://www.thetaskforce.org>
202-393-5177

National Youth Advocacy Coalition

<http://www.nyacyouth.org>
1-800-541-6922

**AARP (American Association
of Retired People)**

<http://www.aarp.org>
1-888-OUR-AARP
(1-888-687-2277)

**Services and Advocacy for Gay, Lesbian,
Bisexual, and Transgender Elders (SAGE)**

<http://www.sageusa.org>
212-741-2247

**American Society on Aging Lesbian
and Gay Aging Issues Network (LGAIN)**

<http://www.asaging.org/networks>
415-974-0300

GLBT National Youth Talkline

1-800-246-PRIDE
(1-800-246-7743)
Mon-Fri,
5 p.m. to 9 p.m. Pacific
8 p.m. to Midnight Eastern

Covenant House Nineline

1-800-999-9999
Available in English/Spanish,
24 hours/day

National Runaway Switchboard

1-800-RUNAWAY
(1-800-786-2929)
Available in English/Spanish,
24 hours/day

Works Cited

1. American Psychological Association Committee on Lesbian and Gay Concerns. Avoiding heterosexual bias in language. *Am Psychol.* 1991;46(9):973-974.
2. American Psychological Association. Does Stigma Still Exist About Homosexuality? Available at <http://healthyminds.org/More-Info-For/GayLesbianBisexuals.aspx>. Last accessed August 4, 2009.
3. Meleis AI. Culturally competent care. *J Transcultural Nurs.* 1999;10(1):12.
4. Kavanagh KH, Kennedy PH. *Promoting Cultural Diversity: Strategies for Health Care Professionals.* 1st ed. Sage Publications; 1992.
5. U.S. Dept of Health and Human Services Office of Minority Health. What is Cultural Competency? Available at <http://www.omhrc.gov/templates/browse.aspx?lvl=2&lvlid=11>. Last accessed August 4, 2009.
6. Blando JA. Twice hidden: older gay and lesbian couples, friends, and intimacy. *Generations.* 2001;25(2):87-89.
7. Ryan C, Futterman D, Stine K. Helping our hidden youth. *Am J Nurs.* 1998;98(12):37-41.
8. Dean L, Meyer IH, Robinson K, et al. Lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender health: findings and concerns. *J Gay Lesbian Med Assoc.* 2000;4(3):102-151.
9. Meyer IH. Why lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender public health? *Am J Public Health.* 2001;91(6):856-859.
10. Jones BE, Hill MJ. *Mental Health Issues in Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender Communities.* 1st ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Publishing; 2002.
11. Davis LH, Dumas R, Ferketich S, et al. AAN expert panel report: culturally competent health care. *Nurs Outlook.* 1992;40(6):277-283.
12. American Public Health Association. *The Need for Public Health Research on Gender Identity and Sexual Orientation.* Policy number: 9819; 1998. Available at <http://www.apha.org/advocacy/policy>. Last accessed July 24, 2009.
13. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *Healthy People 2010: Understanding and Improving Health, Volume I and Volume II.* Available at <http://www.healthypeople.gov>. Last accessed July 24, 2009.
14. American Medical Association. *AMA Policy H-160.991, Health Care Needs of the Homosexual Population.* 1994. Amended 2008. Available at <http://www.ama-assn.org/ama/pub/about-ama/our-people/member-groups-sections/glb-t-advisory-committee/ama-policy-regarding-sexual-orientation.shtml>. Last accessed September 16, 2009.
15. Kirk HW, Weisbrod JA, Ericson KA. *Psychosocial and Behavioral Aspects of Medicine.* Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2002.
16. Human Rights Foundation. *Demystifying Homosexuality: A Teaching Guide About Lesbians and Gay Men.* Irvington Publishers; 1984.
17. American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Adolescence. Homosexuality and adolescence. *Pediatrics.* 1993;92(4):631-634.
18. Sears JT, Williams WL (eds). *Overcoming Heterosexism and Homophobia: Strategies That Work.* 1st ed. New York, NY: Columbia University Press; 1997.
19. Stevens PE, Morgan S. Health of lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender youth. *J Pediatr Health Care.* 2001;15(1):24-34.
20. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention National Prevention Information Network. Communities At Risk: The Elderly. Available at <http://www.cdcnpi.org/scripts/population/elderly.asp>. Last accessed September 16, 2009.
21. Williamson IR. Internalized homophobia and health issues affecting lesbians and gay men. *Health Education Research.* 2000;15(1):97-107.
22. Kitzinger C. Speaking of oppression: psychology, politics, and the language of power. In: Rothblum ED, Bond LA (eds). *Preventing Heterosexism and Homophobia.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications; 1996.
23. Weinberg G. *Society and the Healthy Homosexual.* New York, NY: St Martins Press; 1983.
24. O'Hanlan KA, Cabaj RP, Schatz B, Lock J, Nemrow P. A review of the medical consequences of homophobia with suggestions for resolution. *J Gay Lesbian Med Assoc.* 1997;1(1):25-39.
25. Herek GM. The social context of hate crimes: notes on cultural heterosexism. In: Herek GM, Berrill KT (eds). *Hate Crimes: Confronting Violence Against Lesbians and Gay Men.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications; 1992.
26. Messner MA, Sabo DF. *Sex, Violence and Power in Sports: Rethinking Masculinity.* Crossing Press; 1994.
27. Herek GM (ed.) *Stigma and Sexual Orientation: Understanding Prejudice Against Lesbians, Gay Men and Bisexuals (Psychological Perspectives on Lesbian and Gay Issues).* Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications; 1998.
28. Herek GM. The context of anti-gay violence: notes on cultural and psychological heterosexism. *J Interpersonal Violence.* 1990;5(3):316-333.
29. Like RC, Steiner RP, Rubel AJ. Society of Teachers of Family Medicine (STFM) Core Curriculum Guidelines. Recommended core curriculum guidelines on culturally sensitive and competent health care. *Fam Med.* 1996;28(4):291-297.
30. Matthews CR, Adams EM. Using a social justice approach to prevent the mental health consequences of heterosexism. *J Prim Prev.* 2009;30(1):11-26.

31. Misener TR, Sowell RL, Phillips KD, Harris C. Sexual orientation: a cultural diversity issue for nursing. *Nurs Outlook*. 1997;45(4):178-181.
32. Meyer IH, Schwartz S. Social issues as public health: promise and peril. *Am J Public Health*. 2000;90(8):1189-1191.
33. Smith DM, Gates G. *Gay and Lesbian Families in the United States. Same-Sex Unmarried Partner Households. A preliminary analysis of 2000 United States Census data*. 2001. Available at http://www.urban.org/UploadedPDF/1000491_gl_partner_households.pdf. Last accessed September 16, 2009.
34. Savin-Williams RC. *Mom, Dad, I'm Gay. How Families Negotiate Coming Out*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association; 2000.
35. Rosenfeld D. Identity work among lesbian and gay elderly. *J Aging Stud*. 1999;13(2):121-144.
36. Sieber JE, Stanley B. Ethical and professional dimensions of socially sensitive research. *Am Psychol*. 1988;43(1):49-55.
37. Lee RM. *Doing Research on Sensitive Topics*. London: Sage Publications; 1993.
38. Allen LB, Glick AD, Beach RK, Naylor KE. Adolescent health care experience of gay, lesbian, and bisexual young adults. *J Adolesc Health*. 1998;23(4):212-220.
39. Kus RJ. Stages of coming out: an ethnographic approach. *West J Nurs Res*. 1985;7(2):177-198.
40. Cass VC. Homosexual identity formation: a theoretical model. *J Homosex*. 1979;4(3):219-235.
41. Troiden RR. The formation of homosexual identities. *J Homosex*. 1989;17(1-2):43-73.
42. Di Angi PR. Grieving and the acceptance of the homosexual identity. *Issues Ment Health Nurs*. 1982;4(2):101-113.
43. Kübler-Ross E. *On Death and Dying*. 1st ed. Simon and Schuster, Scribner; 1997.
44. Erikson EH. *Childhood and Society*. New York, NY: WW Norton and Co; 1993.
45. Maylon AK. The homosexual adolescent: developmental issues and social bias. *Child Welfare*. 1981;60(5):321-330.
46. Ryan C, Futterman D. Lesbian and gay adolescents: identity development. *Prev Researcher*. 2001;8(1):3-5.
47. Dube EM, Savin-Williams RC. Sexual identity development among ethnic sexual-minority male youths. *Dev Psychol*. 1999;35(6):1389-1398.
48. Shibutani T, Kwan KM. *Ethnic Stratification: A Comparative Approach*. New York, NY: Macmillan; 1965.
49. Festinger L. *Theory of Cognitive Dissonance*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press; 1957.
50. Taylor B. "Coming out" as a life transition: homosexual identity formation and its implications for health care practice. *J Adv Nurs*. 1999;30(2):520-525.
51. Festinger L. Cognitive Dissonance. Available at <http://tip.psychology.org/festinger.html>. Last accessed September 16, 2009.
52. Baker JM. *How Homophobia Hurts Children: Nurturing Diversity at Home, at School, and in the Community*. New York, NY: Harrington Park Press; 2002.
53. Gay, Lesbian, and Straight Education Network. The 2007 National School Climate Survey. <http://www.glsen.org/cgi-bin/iowa/all/library/record/2340.html>. Last accessed July 29, 2009.
54. Greytak EA, Kosciw JG, Diaz EM. *Harsh Realities: The Experiences of Transgender Youth in Our Nation's Schools*. Gay, Lesbian, and Straight Education Network; 2009.
55. U.S. Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation Hate Crimes. Incidents and Offenses. Available at <http://www.fbi.gov/ucr/hc2007/incidents.htm>. Last accessed August 6, 2009.
56. The National Coalition of Anti-Violence Programs. *Hate Violence Against Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender People in the United States*. 2008. Available at http://ncavp.org/common/document_files/Reports/2008%20HV%20Report%20smaller%20file.pdf. Last accessed September 16, 2009.
57. D'Augelli AR. Enhancing the development of lesbian, gay, and bisexual youths. In: Rothblum ED, Bond LA (eds). *Preventing Heterosexism and Homophobia: Primary Prevention of Psychopathology*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications; 1996.
58. Roesler T, Deisher RW. Youthful male homosexuality: homosexual experience and the process of developing homosexual identity in males aged 16 to 22 years. *JAMA*. 1972;219(8):1018-1023.
59. Ryan CC, Futterman D. *Lesbian and Gay Youth: Care and Counseling*. 1st ed. New York, NY: Columbia University Press; 1998.
60. Savin-Williams RC, Diamond LM. Sexual identity trajectories among sexual-minority youths: gender comparisons. *Arch Sex Behav*. 2000;29(6):607-627.
61. Zera D. Coming of age in a heterosexist world: the development of gay and lesbian adolescents. *Adolescence*. 1992;27(108):849-854.
62. Benton J. Making schools safer and healthier for lesbian, gay, bisexual, and questioning students. *J Sch Nurs*. 2003;19(5):251-259.
63. D'Augelli AR, Grossman AH, Hershberger SL, O'Connell TS. Aspects of mental health among older lesbian, gay, and bisexual adults. *Aging Men's Health*. 2001;5(2):149-158.
64. McFarland WP, Martin D. The legal duty to protect gay and lesbian students from violence in school. *Professional Sch Counseling*. 2001;4(3):171-179.

65. National Gay and Lesbian Task Force. The Issues: Hate Crimes. Available at http://www.thetaskforce.org/issues/hate_crimes_main_page. Last accessed September 16, 2009.
66. American Association of University Women Educational Foundation. *Hostile Hallways: Bullying, Teasing, and Sexual Harassment in School*. Washington, DC: American Association of University Women Educational Foundation; 2001.
67. Remafedi G. Fundamental issues in the care of homosexual youth. *Med Clin North Am*. 1990;74(5):1169-1179.
68. Fontaine JH, Hammond NL. Counseling issues with gay and lesbian adolescents. *Adolescence*. 1996;31(124):817-830.
69. National Gay and Lesbian Task Force. Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender Youth: An Epidemic of Homelessness. Available at http://www.thetaskforce.org/reports_and_research/homeless_youth. Last accessed September 16, 2009.
70. Krieger N, Sidney S. Prevalence and health implications of anti-gay discrimination: a study of black and white women and men in the CARDIA cohort. *Int J Health Serv*. 1997;27(1):157-176.
71. Ramos A. Two Youth Suicides This Month Highlight Need For Anti-bullying Programs. Available at <http://www.glsen.org/cgi-bin/iowa/all/news/record/2421.html>. Last accessed September 16, 2009.
72. Presgraves D. GLSEN lauds introduction of Safe Schools Improvement Act, H.R. 2262. Available at <http://www.glsen.org/cgi-bin/iowa/all/news/record/2421.html>. Last accessed July 31, 2009.
73. Bakker LJ, Cavender A. Promoting culturally competent care for gay youth. *J Sch Nurs*. 2003;19(2):65-72.
74. American Psychological Association. Answers to Your Questions For A Better Understanding of Sexual Orientation and Homosexuality. Available at <http://www.apa.org/topics/orientation.html>. Last accessed September 16, 2009.
75. Cole SW, Kemeny ME, Taylor SE, Visscher BR. Elevated physical health risk among gay men who conceal their homosexual identity. *Health Psychol*. 1996;15(4):243-251.
76. Kuhr F. Doctor of Homophobia: some states may allow health care providers to refuse treatment to anyone, on moral grounds. Gays are a prime target. *Advocate*. August 30, 2005.
77. Lambda Legal. Health Care Providers Must Treat Gay Patients Equally Under Civil Rights Laws, State Appeals Court Says. Available at <http://www.lambdalegal.org/news/pr/health-care-discrimination-gay.html>. 2003. Last accessed August 10, 2009.
78. Vestal C. California Gay Marriage Ruling Sparks New Debate. Available at <http://www.stateline.org/live/details/story?contentId=310206>. Last accessed July 31, 2009.
79. Nolo Legal Encyclopedia. Same-Sex Marriage: Developments in The Law. Available at <http://www.nolo.com/legal-encyclopedia/article-29828.html>. Last accessed September 16, 2009.
80. Vestal C. Gay Marriage Legal in Six States. Available at <http://www.stateline.org/live/details/story?contentId=347390>. Last accessed September 16, 2009.
81. Blanton K. Unmarried gay couples lose health benefits. *Boston Globe*. December 8, 2004.
82. Miller M, Andre A, Ebin J, Bessonova L. *Bisexual Health: An Introduction and Model Practices for HIV/STI Prevention Programming*. New York, NY: National Gay and Lesbian Task Force Policy Institute, the Fenway Institute at Fenway Community Health, and BiNet USA; 2007.
83. Savin-Williams RC. Verbal and physical abuse as stressors in the lives of lesbian, gay male, and bisexual youths: associations with school problems, running away, substance abuse, prostitution, and suicide. *J Consulting Clin Psychol*. 1994;62(2):261-269.
84. American Cancer Society. Fact Sheet: Lesbian and Bisexual Women are at Greater Risk for Cancer. Available at <http://www.cancer.org/downloads/COM/CancerFactsfor%20Lesbians03.pdf>. Last accessed August 3, 2009.
85. Dibble SL, Roberts SA. A comparison of breast cancer diagnosis and treatment between lesbian and heterosexual women. *J Gay Lesbian Med Assoc*. 2002;6(1):9-17.
86. Gay and Lesbian Medial Association. Ten Things Gay Men Should Discuss With Their Health Care Providers. Available at http://www.gлма.org/_data/n_0001/resources/live/Top%20Ten%20Gay%20Men.pdf. Last accessed September 16, 2009.
87. American Cancer Society, Fact Sheet: Gay and Bisexual Men Have an Increased Risk for Cancer. Available at <http://www.cancer.org/downloads/COM/Gay%20Men%20CF%20Brochure.pdf>. Last accessed August 3, 2009.
88. American Psychological Association. APA Policy Statement. Sexual Orientation, Parents, and Children: Lesbian and Gay Parents. Available at <http://www.apa.org/pi/lgbc/policy/parents.html>. Last accessed September 16, 2009.
89. American Medical Association House of Delegates. Resolution 204 (A-04): Partner Co-Adoption. April 29, 2004. Available at <http://www.ama-assn.org/meetings/public/annual04/204a04.rtf>. Last accessed September 16, 2009.
90. Joint Commission Resources. *The Joint Commission Guide to Patient and Family Education*. Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare; 2003.
91. American Medical Association. AMA-MSS Digest of Policy Actions. 2009. Available at http://www.ama-assn.org/ama1/pub/upload/mm/15/digest_of_actions.pdf. Last accessed July 31, 2009.
92. MacKellar DA, Valleroy LA, Secura GM, et al. Two decades after vaccine license: hepatitis B immunization and infection among young men who have sex with men. *Am J Public Health*. 2001;91(6):965-971.

93. Schutten M, De Wit JB, van Steenberg JE. Why do gay men want to be vaccinated against hepatitis B? An assessment of psychosocial determinants of vaccination intention. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2002;13(2):86-90.
94. Das E, de Wit JB, Vet R, Friins T. "Feeling" risk and seeing solutions: predicting vaccination intention against hepatitis B infection among men who have sex with men. *J Health Psychol*. 2008;13(6):728-732.
95. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. HIV/AIDS and Men Who Have Sex With Men (MSM). Available at <http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/topics/msm/index.htm>. Last accessed August 10, 2009.
96. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Sexually Transmitted Diseases Treatment Guidelines: Special Populations. Available at <http://www.cdc.gov/std/treatment/2006/specialpops.htm#specialpops4>. Last accessed August 10, 2009.
97. Johnson WD, Diaz RM, Flanders WD, et al. Behavioral interventions to reduce risk for sexual transmission of HIV among men who have sex with men. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2008;16(3):CD001230.
98. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Unrecognized HIV infection, risk behaviors, and perceptions of risk among young black men who have sex with men—six U.S. cities, 1994-1998. *MMWR*. 2002;51(33):733-736.
99. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Questions and Answers: Men on the Down Low. Available at <http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/topics/aa/resources/qa/downlow.htm>. Last accessed July 31, 2009.
100. Paul JP, Catania J, Pollack L, et al. Suicide attempts among gay and bisexual men: lifetime prevalence and antecedents. *Am J Public Health*. 2002;92(8):1338-1345.
101. King M, Semlyen J, Tai SS, et al. A systematic review of mental disorder, suicide, and deliberate harm in lesbian, gay, and bisexual people. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2008.
102. National Institute of Mental Health. Eating Disorders. Available at <http://www.nimh.nih.gov/health/publications/eating-disorders/complete-index.shtml#pub7>. Last accessed August 3, 2009.
103. Meyer C, Blissett J, Oldfield C. Sexual orientation and eating psychopathology: the role of masculinity and femininity. *Int J Eating Disord*. 2001;29(3):314-318.
104. Jones BE. Is having the luck of growing old in the gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender community good or bad luck? *J Gay Lesbian Soc Services*. 2002;13(4):13-14.
105. Cabaj RP, Stein TS, eds. *Textbook of Homosexuality and Mental Health*. 1st ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press; 1996.
106. Gay and Lesbian Alcoholics. About Alcoholism and Recovery. Available at <http://www.gayalcoholics.com>. Last accessed August 10, 2009.
107. Ryan H, Wortley PM, Easton A, Pederson L, Greenwood G. Smoking among lesbians, gays, and bisexuals: a review of the literature. *Am J Prev Med*. 2001;21(2):142-149.
108. Lambda Legal. Domestic Violence in Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Relationships. Available at http://www.lambda.org/DV_background.htm. Last accessed August 10, 2009.
109. National Coalition Against Domestic Violence. What is Battering? Available at <http://www.ncadv.org/learn/TheProblem.php>. Last accessed July 31, 2009.
110. Klinger RL, Stein TS. Impact of violence, childhood sexual abuse, and domestic violence and abuse on lesbians, bisexuals, and gay men. In: Cabaj RP, Stein TS (eds). *Textbook of Homosexuality and Mental Health*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press; 2005.
111. Berrill KT. Anti-gay violence and victimization in the United States: an overview. In: Herek GM, Berrill KT (eds). *Hate Crimes: Confronting Violence Against Lesbians and Gay Men*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications; 1992.
112. Conyers J. Forward. In: Herek GM, Berrill KT (eds). *Hate Crimes: Confronting Violence Against Lesbians and Gay Men*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications; 1992.
113. The Library of Congress. H.R. 1048. Available at <http://thomas.loc.gov/cgi-bin/bdquery/z?d101:HR01048:@@L&summ2=m>. Last accessed September 16, 2009.
114. National Gay and Lesbian Task Force. The Issues: Aging. Available at <http://www.thetaskforce.org/issues/aging>. Last accessed August 10, 2009.
115. Vaid U. Preface. In: Cahill S, South K, Spade J (eds). *Outing Age: Public Policy Issues Affecting Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual and Transgender Elders*. New York, NY: The Policy Institute of the National Gay and Lesbian Task Force Foundation; 2000.
116. Advocate. Federal Legislation Would Give Gay Couples Equality in Social Security. Available at http://www.advocate.com/news_detail_ektid29434.asp. Last accessed July 31, 2009.
117. U.S. Congress. H.R. 5152: Equal Access to Social Security Act of 2006. Available at <http://www.govtrack.us/congress/bill.xpd?bill=h109-5152>. Last accessed August 10, 2009.
118. Shannon JW, Woods WJ. Affirmative psychotherapy for gay men. *Counseling Psychol*. 1991;19(2):197-215.
119. Williams E, Donnelly J. Older Americans and AIDS: some guidelines for prevention. *Soc Work*. 2002;47(2):105-111.
120. Skiest DJ, Keiser P. Human immunodeficiency virus infection in patients older than 50 years: a survey of primary care physicians' beliefs, practices, and knowledge. *Arch Fam Med*. 1997;6(3):289-294.

#6188 Promoting the Health of the Gay Community

121. Berger RM, Kelly JJ. Gay men and lesbians grown older. In: Cabaj RP, Stein TS (eds). *Textbook of Homosexuality and Mental Health*. 1st ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press; 1996.
122. Morrow DF. Older gays and lesbians: surviving a generation of hate and violence. *J Gay Lesbian Soc Services*. 2001;13(1/2):151-169.
123. Hawke M. Just like everyone else. *Nurs Spectrum*. 2002;3(12):20-22.
124. Pederson P. *A Handbook for Developing Multicultural Awareness*. 2nd ed. Alexandria, VA: American Counseling Association; 1994.
125. Cogan J. The prevention of anti-lesbian/gay hate crimes through social change and empowerment. In: Rothblum ED, Bond LA (eds). *Preventing Heterosexism and Homophobia*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications; 1996.
126. Leninger M, McFarland M. *Transcultural Nursing: Concepts, Theories, Research and Practice*. 3rd ed. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill Professional; 2002.
127. Lambda Legal. Disclosing Your Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity to Healthcare Providers: The Effect of New HIPAA Regulations. Available at <http://www.lambdalegal.org/our-work/publications/facts-backgrounds/disclosing-your-sexual-orientation-or-gender-identity-to-healthcare-providers-the-effect-of-new-hipaa-regulations.html>. Last accessed July 31, 2009.
128. Gay and Lesbian Medical Association. Creating a Safe Clinical Environment for Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, and Intersex (LGBTI) Patients. Available at <http://213.121.207.229/upload/access.pdf>. Last accessed July 31, 2009.
129. Bakker LJ. Caring for a gay patient: here's how to provide sensitive and knowledgeable care. *Nursing*. 1998;28(10).
130. Baron A Jr. The challenge: to make homosexuality boring. *Counseling Psychol*. 1991;19(2):239-244.
131. East JA, El Rayess F. Pediatricians' approach to the health care of lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth. *J Adolesc Health*. 1998;23(4):191-193.
132. American Psychological Association. APA Policy Statements on Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender Concerns. Available at <http://www.apa.org/pi/lgbcpolicy/pshome.html>. Last accessed September 16, 2009.
133. Human Rights Campaign. Legislation Introduced to Repeal Discriminatory Defense of Marriage Act. 2009. Available at <http://www.hrc.org/13533.htm>. Last accessed September 18, 2009.
134. National Institute of Health. Behavioral, Social, Mental Health, and Substance Abuse Research with Diverse Populations. PA Number: PA-01-096 (Reissued as PA-06-218). Available at <http://grants2.nih.gov/grants/guide/pa-files/PA-01-096.html>. Last accessed October 1, 2009.
135. SIECUS. Sexuality Information and Education Council of the United States. Available at <http://www.siecus.org/pubs/cnct/cnct001.html>. Last accessed January 23, 2003.
136. Savin-Williams RC. Reactions of gay and lesbian youth to verbal and physical harassment. *Human Ecology Forum*. 1990;18(2):12-15.
137. Lambda Legal. *Nabozny v. Podlesny*. Available at <http://www.lambdalegal.org/in-court/cases/nabozny-v-podlesny.html>. Last accessed October 1, 2009.
138. Savin-Williams RC, Frankel LB. Personal and sexual identity. Handout accompanying: Savin-Williams R. Lives of Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Youths: From First Attractions to a Positive Identity. Understanding Sexual Identity: Strategies to Support Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual and Questioning Youth. Speech presented at a conference coordinated by the Charleston Area Medical Center Education and Research Division and St. John's Episcopal Church, Charleston, WV. March 2002.
139. Gay and Lesbian Medical Association. GLMA Condemns Michigan Bill Allowing Discrimination against LGBT Patients. 2004. Available at <http://www.GLMA.org:16080/news>. Last accessed October 5, 2004.
140. Friend RA. Older lesbian and gay people: responding to homophobia. *J Homosex*. 1990;20(3-4):241-263.

Evidence-Based Practice Recommendations Citations

- Frankowski BL. Sexual orientation and adolescents. *Pediatrics*. 2004;113(6):1827-1832. Summary retrieved from National Guideline Clearinghouse at http://www.guidelines.gov/summary/summary.aspx?doc_id=5328. Last accessed September 17, 2009.
- Center for Substance Abuse Treatment. *Substance Abuse Treatment and Family Therapy*. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration; 2004. Summary retrieved from National Guideline Clearinghouse at http://www.guidelines.gov/summary/summary.aspx?doc_id=5886. Last accessed September 17, 2009.